

Review

Corresponding author

Simon Dein, PhD

Honorary Senior Lecturer
Department of Psychiatry & Behavioural
Sciences

University College London
London, England, UK

E-mail: s.dein@ucl.ac.uk

Volume 1 : Issue 1

Article Ref. #: 1000ANTPOJ1102

Article History

Received: November 10th, 2015

Accepted: January 25th, 2016

Published: January 25th, 2016

Citation

Dein S. Depression: Ethno psychological themes. *Anthropol Open J.* 2016; 1(1): 3-10.
doi: [10.17140/ANTPOJ-1-102](https://doi.org/10.17140/ANTPOJ-1-102)

Copyright

©2016 Dein S. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Depression: Ethno Psychological Themes

Simon Dein*

Department of Psychiatry & Behavioural Sciences, University College London, London, England, UK; Honorary Professor University of Durham, Durham, UK

CULTURE AND DEPRESSION

In this paper, I have focused upon the ethno psychology of depression. The term “ethno psychology” refers to indigenous representations of mind, body, emotion and self. In the past two decades, anthropologists, psychologists and psychiatrists have become increasingly interested in the role of culture for the expression and experience of depression. I shall argue that the constellation of ethno psychological features, deeply rooted in their culture make up of culture members, influences the ways in which individuals experience and express depression, as it does for all emotions. Different cultural conceptions of self and emotion result in variations in the qualitative features of bodily/emotional experience, and thus dysphoric affects cannot be considered the same cross-culturally.

The literature pertaining to culture and depression is still dominated by studies based upon Western-based diagnostic criteria and examines the incidence and prevalence rates of this disorder. While anthropologists tend to view depression as an affect, psychiatrists view it as an affective disorder. Keyes¹ asserts that biomedicine is unique as it deals with illness by interpreting experience without reference to the problem of suffering.

Kirmayer² argues that the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), reflects cultural values of personal autonomy and to uniqueness of each individual and the significance of personal aims, goals, values and preferences. However, there is a lack of emphasis on interpersonal symptoms. Some have argued that the term “profound distress” may be preferable to the term “depressive disorder”.³ Indeed unlike other medical conditions, Ryder et al⁴ maintains that depression emerges from a complex interplay of culture, mind and brain which is not reducible to any single level. The experience, meaning and expression of dysphoria – referring to sadness, hopelessness, unhappiness and lack of pleasure – varies across cultural groups.⁵

Many researchers have noted that the aetiology, expression and maintenance of depressive disorders varies cross-culturally.^{2,6,7} Culture influences the sources of distress, the form of illness experience, symptomatology, the interpretation of symptoms, forms of coping, the social response to distress and help-seeking. Furthermore it provides a lexicon of affect, rules for displaying emotions, lay theories of emotion and strategies for managing dysphoria. The question arises as to whether depression is one disorder with different cultural disguises, several disorders with one name or a Western culture-bound syndrome? This will be clarified below.

RESEARCHING CULTURAL DIFFERENCES IN DEPRESSION

There is a tension between ethnographic and epidemiological research methods in this area. Psychiatrists typically deploy epidemiological methodology which focuses on the prevalence of affective disorder, deploy the definitions of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V), and assumes that Western based criteria for diagnosing depression can be applied universally.⁸ In contrast, anthropologists examine cultural meaning, social structure and historical change and deploy cognitive psychology, semantic analysis,

sociolinguistics and phenomenological methods to bring ‘meaning’ to depression. According to an ethnographic approach, in examining depression cross-culturally, anthropologists and psychiatrists attempt to develop understandings of this phenomenon in diverse cultural groups. The conceptions of depression in that particular culture are then examined and compared to see if they resemble those of Western culture.

Yet, both paradigms have their limitations. Biomedical approaches gloss over the differences in meaning of depression across culture by defining depression a priori. While useful in understanding the experience of depression, this ethnographic approach typically draws on small numbers which limits generalizability. Overall, from a review of the anthropological and cross-cultural literature, emptiness, learned helplessness and ‘soul loss’, as described by Schweder,⁹ are elements that appear universally across cultures. Other aspects of depression found in Western cultures may not be found in other cultures.³

There are also differences in the way emotion is perceived and understood. Emotion is typically viewed as intrapsychic in Western cultures, whereas it is seen as intersubjective in traditional cultures.

ANTHROPOLOGY AND DYSPHORIA

Anthropologists generally agree that emotions are inseparable from cultural systems of meaning and control. Our cultural orientation organizes the experience and interpretation of specific social events, both positive and negative. The cultural context selects which emotions are elaborated; entire domains of emotional knowledge may be elaborated or unelaborated. The occasion to feel one emotion rather than another is always defined in locally culturally specific terms. Concepts of selfhood influence emotional states. Schieffelin,¹⁰ focusing on the intersubjective nature of affect, highlighted how among the Kaluli of Papua New Guinea, emotions are expressed to influence others and used to ensure social reciprocity. Since cultural structures exist for Kaluli to recover any losses they incur, the experience of depression is rare.

While some cultures value the expression of extreme sadness, others demonstrate little tolerance of these affects. The types of over/under elaboration may be influenced by social class. For instance while Chinese villagers may express sadness publicly, this is not the case for the middle-class Chinese who will not display this outside close family relations. Such differences in emotional emphasis appear to be deeply rooted in the developmental makeup of cultural members¹¹ or as Geertz¹² rightly comments in relation to child upbringing: “*He learns, in a sense, a special vocabulary of emotion*”.

There has been some anthropological work examining the cultural construction of emotional distress in non-Western groups, studying depressive states as local forms of suffering

and reflecting a fundamental ‘ethos’ of suffering permeating nearly every aspect of the worldview.¹³ Western Europeans and North Americans have become better at promoting happiness and detecting human suffering and mental distress, so it can be eliminated through therapy and prevention.

Different cultural traditions of suffering vary according to the salience of this vocabulary of emotion.¹² In some cultures, suffering may be valued, for example in Buddhist cultures.¹⁴ Through the ‘work of culture’, painful affects are transformed into public systems of meanings and symbols. Finally in many countries, for example *Latvia*,⁶ affective symptoms are seen as moral or social problems rather than medical problems. As Jenkins et al¹³ assert: “*Dysphoric affects cannot properly be considered the same cross culturally, there are culturally distinctive repertoires of distressing experience*”.

Individuals in Highland Ecuador experience *pena* meaning sadness or suffering. This is characterised by crying spells, poor concentration, anhedonia, social withdrawal, poor sleep and appetite, gastrointestinal and cardiac complaints. Similar to Western depression, it is a response to personal loss. However unlike depression, as Tousignant and Maldonado¹⁵ assert, it is an appeal, implicitly or explicitly expressed, for payment of an incurred loss and provides an opportunity to restore equity and ensure social reciprocity. While the symptoms of *pena* may be similar to those in Western depression, the meaning differs. In the local population, *pena* is seen as both idiom of distress signifying suffering and an illness.

It is important to differentiate grief from depression. Grief can be described as a natural human reaction. It is a universal feature of human existence irrespective of culture, although the form and intensity its expression varies considerably.¹⁶ Unlike the person with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD), the majority of recently bereaved individuals are usually not preoccupied with feelings of worthlessness, hopelessness, or unremitting gloom. Rather, self-esteem is usually preserved. The bereaved person can envision a ‘better day’; positive thoughts and feelings are often interspersed with negative ones. While scholars, practitioners, and the public may presume there is something invariantly biological about grieving, grief is shaped by the social context in which it occurs.¹⁷ There are striking cultural similarities in grieving. For example, in virtually all cultures most people seem to grieve the loss of somebody close to them.¹⁸ Additionally, in virtually all cultures there is a sense among many people, if not all, that a person who has died continues in some way beyond death.¹⁸ Nonetheless, cultures differ widely in how loss is defined and in what is considered an appropriate expression of grief; these cultural differences are reflected in what bereaved people say and act.

I shall now examine how different ethno psychological themes impact the experience and expression of ‘depression’.

THOUGHTS AND FEELINGS

Anthropologists have examined whether or not all cultural groups distinguish thoughts from feelings and how feelings, appraisals, words and actions hang together in diverse cultural contexts. In some cultures such as Balinese, individuals do not clearly distinguish between thoughts and feelings; they think with their feelings and feel with their thoughts. As the Balinese state: “*Laughter makes happiness, it takes sadness out*’ and ‘*if you only think good thoughts, it is impossible to feel sad*”.¹⁹ Furthermore, in Balinese folk psychology, there is no sense of unconscious. Rather than being seen as internal, they are held to be generated by specific situations, and like thoughts, can be controlled.²⁰

In some instances these linkages between thought and feeling might not recall what we typically call emotion. Rosaldo²¹ notes ‘that the cultural/ideational and the individual affective have been construed as theoretically, and empirically, at odds’. As Levy²² attests in his work on emotion among Tahitians, the death of a loved one may be experienced as illness or fatigue rather than emotionalised as sadness. Do Tahitians hypocognise sadness? Do Euro-Americans emotionalise while other groups somatise? As Lutz²³ has pointed out, among the Ifaluk of Micronesia, emotion, thought and body are intimately linked in ethnotheory through their role in illness. In summary, similar experiences are, potentially, emotionalised to different degrees cross-culturally.

MIND-BODY DUALISM AND SOMATIZATION

Lewis et al²⁴ assert that current views on depression derive from Western concepts of the mind – and body: the mind and body are separate entities. In contrast, in many non-Western cultures, the mind and body are intimately related and mutually constituted. Western psychiatric practice is predicated on a mind-body dualism.²⁵ Models of mental illness typically locate causality either in the mind (e.g. cognitive models of depression) or in the body/brain (e.g. psychiatric explanations of depression as a result of low levels of serotonin, dopamine or noradrenaline). Models that at first glance appear to transcend this dualism (e.g. the biopsychosocial model, psychodynamic models), fail to do so in important respects. In contrast, the mind and body are viewed as integrated in other cultures. In China for instance, illness of the mind cannot be separated from illness of the body.

Cross-cultural studies indicate a high prevalence of somatic symptoms in depressed and anxious patients in non-Western cultures. Symptom attribution, a key element in the concept of somatization – is an interpretive process, strongly influenced by cultural factors.²⁶ In many parts of the world and in ethnic minority populations in the USA, the distinction between psychic and somatic is far from obvious.

Cultural psychiatrists typically report emphasis on somatic symptoms among East Asians who are profoundly

distressed, particularly Chinese who often present with the symptom of low energy.²⁷ Affective and cognitive symptoms are de-emphasised in favour of somatic symptoms including: heart ache, bodily aches pains, chronic fatigue, sleeplessness and weakness.^{28,29} While the exact cause of this phenomenon remains unknown, it is likely that social factors such as better access to healthcare, Chinese traditional medicine and political censure of symptoms during the Cultural Revolution⁵ play a part. However, European American cultural scripts that emphasise emotion similarly remain to be explained.

These stereotypical presentations appear to be changing over time in China; for example, neurasthenia is giving rise to more emotional expressions of depression possibly as a result of globalisation. Similar patterns have been observed among South Asians. Rao et al³⁰ note that a number of clinical research studies have found evidence that patients from Western and non-Western countries express both somatic and psychological symptoms. Although somatic and psychological symptoms may co-exist, patients may be more conditioned to express more of one type of symptom, somatic or psychological, over the other; depending upon the nature of the illness and the patients’ cultural contexts. Rao et al investigated the influence of Westernization, stigma, severity of symptoms, and other factors on the symptom presentations of 60 South Indian psychiatric patients, employing both open-ended and symptom-directed interviewing methods. More Westernized participants and those reporting higher symptom severity scores, tended to present a more psychological balance of symptoms. The study concluded that the Westernization occurring in non-Western countries, such as through globalization, can impact an individual’s expression of distress.

ALEXITHYMIA

Dere et al³¹ examined cross-cultural aspects of alexithymia – a multifaceted personality construct referring to a general deficit in the ability to identify and describe emotional states. Alexithymia has been linked to a number of psychiatric illnesses. The phenomenon has been critiqued as heavily rooted in ‘Western’ norms of emotional expression, but it has not received much empirical attention from a cultural perspective. These authors hypothesised that Externally Oriented Thinking (EOT) is more strongly shaped by cultural values than two other components of alexithymia: Difficulty Identifying Feelings (DIF) and Difficulty Describing Feelings (DDF). The study of Euro-Canadian and Chinese-Canadian students found that cultural differences in alexithymia may be explained by culturally – based variations in the importance placed on emotions, rather than deficits in emotional processing. The study also raises questions about the measurement and meaning of EOT, particularly from a cross-cultural perspective.

CONCEPTS OF SELF

The definition of depression is imbued with Western

cultural assumptions. First, Western views reflect a value of feeling good about the self as a normal way of being. Second, since Western culture with Cultures in North America and Western Europe emphasise individualistic and autonomous views of the self, depressive symptoms are seen to derive from internal disturbances. In contrast, cultures that emphasise collectivistic selves, depression may be attributed to interpersonal factors and emphasise interpersonal obligations. In the West, depression is seen as deriving from psychological or biological processes. On the other hand, non-Western cultures do not consider mind as separate from body but rather as intimately related and this is reflected in more holistic treatments deployed.

Chiao and Blazinsky³² propose that those from more collectivist cultures are more likely to value social harmony over individualism and support behaviours that increase group cohesion and interdependence. Furthermore, collectivist cultures may give individuals, who are genetically susceptible to depression an implied or expressed social support, which buffers them from depressive episodes. Yoo³³ explored whether, and how, ethnicity and individualism-collectivism are related to depressive symptomatology and attitudes towards seeking professional help using a comparison of university students. Eighty-eight American college students and 95 South Korean college students participated in the study. Canonical correlation analyses revealed that low ratings on vertical individualism in American culture are related to more positive attitudes toward seeking professional help.

Genetic predisposition to depression may be moderated by collectivist cultural factors. Way and Lieberman³⁴ reviewed recent work demonstrating a robust cross-national correlation between the relative frequency of variants in these genes and the relative degree of individualism-collectivism in each population. The authors postulate that collectivism may have developed and persisted in populations with a high proportion of putative social sensitivity alleles because it was more compatible with such groups.

AUTONOMY

It is recognised that the absence of both autonomy and social support (relatedness) are two important etiologic pathways to MDD. However, cross-cultural researchers note that the implications of autonomy and relatedness for mental health vary across cultures. Several studies have indicated that satisfaction of the need for autonomy predict emotional well-being in everyday life³⁵ and the effective regulation of emotions.³⁶ There is evidence that the amount of autonomy required to maintain optimal emotional functioning is influenced by cultural contexts.³⁷ In individualistic cultures, there may be a greater need for autonomy than for relatedness; the reverse may be the case in collectivistic cultures.³⁸ According to the proponents of Self-Determination Theory (SDT), autonomy (along with relatedness and competence) is a basic human need that must be fulfilled for optimal functioning and subjective well-being.³⁹ Autonomy, as

defined by SDT researchers, refers to regulation by the self or self-regulation.⁴⁰

NORMATIVE AND PATHOLOGICAL SCRIPTS

Emotional states are understood in more detail in light of cultural knowledge. Chentsova-Dutton et al³ distinguish normative cultural scripts from deviant cultural scripts. The former express the ways in which a person in a given cultural *milieu* perceives, thinks feels and acts in ways that are experienced as normal and are seen by others as normal. The latter comprises ways in which individuals in particular cultural groups deviate from these norms. Yet they do so in ways which are culturally understandable. In any given cultural group, deviant the scripts may change overtime with a new form emerging through migration and globalisation. While describing unusual/undesirable states, perceptions, thoughts, feelings and actions, they retain a certain degree of familiarity for people living in that cultural *milieu*; they indicate that the person's experience is abnormal while simultaneously helping the individual and those around him make sense of the experience. Cultural scripts of profound distress allow individuals to focus on some affective/somatic changes (emotions, perceptions, thoughts and bodily sensations) rather than others and allow for the communication of these changes. Sudden changes that are alarming and deeply troubling are made more understandable through these cultural scripts. At times however, some experiences such as psychotic like phenomena differ from deviant cultural scripts and others may have difficulty in understanding them. The extent to which the individual experience fits with cultural scripts impacts the illness experience. Being labelled as mentally ill may result in stigma, while at the same time confer understanding and permit treatment.⁴¹

Concepts of normality may be differentially viewed depending upon cultural context. There is emerging evidence that cultural scripts for profound distress vary cross-culturally. Western Europeans and North Americans cultural contexts encourage higher levels of negative emotions and depressive symptoms than their Central and Western Europeans and North Americans counterparts. As a result, depressive symptoms may be viewed as normative in Western Europeans and North Americans contexts. Turvey et al⁴² compared attitudes towards depression in South Korea, Russia and the USA. Though there existed wide variation between countries in attitudes about depression, the majority of each endorsed items reflected a medical model of depression. Korean and Russian participants endorsed the view of depression as a personal weakness more than participants in the USA. In a similar way, Dura Villa et al⁴³ observed that Spaniards were unlikely to view symptoms of depression triggered by negative life events, such as the illness of a family member, as pathological.

POSITIVE EMOTIONS

There is emerging evidence that there is cultural vari-

ability in the ways that different cultures encourage and value positive emotions. Positive emotions are considered functional and desirable in European-American contexts⁴⁴ and are an important component of European normative cultural scripts for optimal functioning. This is particularly the case for high arousal states such as euphoria or excitement and self-focused states such as pride.⁴⁵ Contrastingly, in East Asian contexts, positive emotions are not encouraged and individuals minimise negative emotions less than in European-American contexts.⁴⁶ Low arousal affective states such as peacefulness and calmfulness are valued more highly than high arousal positive states in East Asian contexts, possibly as a result of the cultural emphasis on interdependence. Finally, there is evidence that South Asians are more likely to experience negative feelings during positive situations than individuals in European-American groups.⁴⁶

CONCLUSION

In the above sections, I have discussed how various ethno psychological factors impact upon the experience and presentation of emotional distress. I would argue that while dysphoric mood appears to be a fairly universal response to loss and adversity, DSM depression is only one variant of this and is dependent on a culturally specific conceptualisation of self, autonomy and mind body dualism. We would concur with Marsella⁴⁷: “*That cultural factors constitute an important context for all aspects of depressive experience and disorder and must be considered if an accurate understanding of depression is to be achieved*”. Despite this, the literature pertaining to culture and depression is still dominated by studies based upon ‘Western’-based diagnostic criteria and examine the incidence and prevalence rates and/or levels of depressive symptoms in different countries.

We need to differentiate the role of culture and language in the experience and expression of emotion. Language is a central medium for the communication of emotional and physical well-being alongside emotional and physical distress. Research in Anthropology and Linguistics has highlighted cultural differences in the relative focus on the body in emotion discourse.⁴⁸ There is some interesting anthropological work suggesting relationships between language, embodiment, metaphor and the expression of emotional distress, particularly somatization.⁴⁹

Future work in this area requires detailed ethnographic research on mood, and its emic conceptualizations in diverse cultures examining the complex interplay of culture, language, mind and brain. Research should focus upon the relationship of depression to local contexts with ethnographic research describing patterns of shared cultural meaning, experience and behaviour, through the deployment of in-depth interviews and participant observation among patients. Through this, we can elicit the analytic categories through which individuals perceive suffering.

NOTES

1. The emerging field of ethno psychology, in Catherine Lutz’s words, is “*concerned with the way in which people conceptualize, monitor, and discuss their own and other’s mental and/or behavioral processes*”. It roughly resembles what western psychologists refer to as ‘personality’. The field builds on the previous work of anthropologists such as Gregory Bateson, Margaret Mead and Ruth Benedict. White⁵⁰ defines ethno psychology as the study of how individuals within a cultural group conceptualize the self, emotions, human nature, motivation, personality, and the interpretation of experience. It can be viewed as a local lay psychology used to understand experience. The way a cultural group interprets affective experience is related to how the group conceptualizes the body and its processes, self and personhood.

Misra⁵¹ states:

“The current Western thinking of the science of psychology in its prototypical form, despite being local and indigenous, assumes a global relevance and is treated as a universal mode of generating knowledge. Its dominant voice subscribes to a decontextualized vision with an extraordinary emphasis on individualism, mechanism, and objectivity. This peculiarly Western mode of thinking is fabricated, projected, and institutionalized through representation technologies and scientific rituals and transported on a large scale to the non-Western societies under political-economic domination. As a result, Western psychology tends to maintain an independent stance at cost of ignoring other substantive possibilities from disparate cultural traditions. Mapping reality through Western constructs has offered a pseudo understanding of the people of alien cultures and has had debilitating effects in terms of misconstruing the special realities of other people and exoticizing or disregarding psychologies that are non-Western. Consequently, when people from other cultures are exposed to Western psychology, they find their identities placed in question and their conceptual repertoires rendered obsolete”.

Ethno psychological themes include indigenous concepts of the self and autonomy, the categorisation of emotions, the predominance of particular emotions in a given society, interrelations of particular emotions, the identification on specific situations in which particular emotions arise, and the bodily experience of particular emotions. Understanding depression across cultures necessitates knowledge of indigenous conceptualisations of dysphoric affect i.e. an ethno psychology of depressive affect.

2. According to Lindholm²⁰ anthropologists have been reluctant to engage with the study of emotion, heeding to Durkheim’s and Mauss⁵² warning that emotions cannot be properly studied on account of the fact that they fluid, mixed, not easily defined and impossible to analyze. Furthermore, emotion has been viewed in

North America and Western Europe as a natural force, arising in the core of the individual and falling therefore within the disciplinary realm of psychology and physiology, rather than within the domain of anthropology with its focus upon shared culture and symbols. In their quest to gain professional legitimacy they have favoured a masculine meaning centred and cerebral model of research rather than any serious study of Traditional emotions have been seen as irrational, with women being driven by them. This assertion has little in the way of empirical proof. However anthropologists have long relied upon emotional relationships in their fieldwork deploying empathy, trust and rapport to gain their informants' trust.

It is now over 140 years since Darwin wrote the *Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals*. He argued there that emotional expressions were biologically innate, were evolutionary adaptive, were important in communication and that humans expressed emotions in their faces in exactly the same way across the world regardless of their or culture. Despite increasing anthropological evidence that culture has a significant influence on emotion, there is physiological and evolutionary evidence that emotions are not infinitely malleable, nor totally cognitive, nor completely relational.^{20,53} I would agree with Schweder,⁵⁴ an advocate of the interpretive relativist view of culture, who asserts: 'it is ludicrous to imagine that the emotional functioning of people in different cultures is basically the same. It is just as ludicrous to imagine that each culture's emotional life is unique'.

While much of the anthropological work on emotion was conducted some time ago,^{19,21-23,50,54-56} recently there has been resurgent interest in this area, although as Beatty⁵⁷ notes, there has been a 'shift of emphasis in psychological anthropology away from emotion in favor of "subjectivity," "embodiment," "personhood," and "experience". This has fruitfully complicated the issues, making emotion one of a set of interrelated problem-aspects rather than a distinct topic or explicit focus of interest'.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Unlike previous work on emotion e.g. Lutz and White⁶¹ this recent interest takes account of dissolution of the subject and the critique of the notion of 'culture' resulting from globalization, social mobility and postmodernism. Anthropological accounts are now seen as situated, limited and partial.

As Geertz⁶² notes, it is not just ideas but emotions as well which should be seen as cultural artefacts. Emotions may be conceptualised in very different ways in different cultures. For example Lutz⁶³(p 212) argues that many Western views of emotion maintain that emotions are 'singular events situated within individuals'. In contrast, using fieldwork data from the Ifaluk of the Caroline Islands of Micronesia, Ifaluk views of emotion emphasise 'exchanges between individuals'. Other ethno psychological studies suggest that small scale sociocentric cultures experience emotion not as private and inner motivation but rather as a consequence of the enactment of specific public roles, and emphasise formal obligations and social relationship.²⁰

REFERENCES

1. Keyes C. The interpretive basis of depression. In: Kleinman A, Good B, eds. *Culture and depression: studies in the anthropology and cross-cultural psychiatry of affect and disorder*. Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press, 1985: 153-74.
2. Kirmayer LJ. Psychotherapy and the cultural concept of the person. *Transcultural Psychiatry*. 2007; 44: 232-257.
3. Chentsova-Dutton Y, Ryder A, Tsai JL. Understanding depression across cultural contexts. In: Gotlib I, Hammen C, eds. *Handbook of Depression*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Guilford Press, 2014; 95-106.
4. Ryder AG, Ban LM, Chentsova-Dutton YE. Towards a cultural-clinical psychology. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2011; 960-975.
5. Kleinman A, Kleinman J. Somatization: interconnections among Chinese culture, depressive meanings and the experience of pain. In: Kleinman A, Good B, eds. *Culture and Depression*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1985: 429-490.
6. Kirmayer LJ. Cultural variations in the clinical presentation of depression and anxiety: implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2001; 62(Suppl 13): 22-28.
7. Kirmayer LJ, Looper KJ. Abnormal illness behaviour: physiological, psychological and social dimensions of coping with distress. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2006; 19(1): 54-60.
8. Jorm AF, Nakane Y, Christensen H, Yoshioka K, Griffiths KM, Wata Y. Public beliefs about treatment and outcomes of mental disorders: a comparison of Australia and Japan. *BMC Medicine*. 2005; 3: 12.
9. Shweder RA. Menstrual pollution, soul loss and the comparative study of emotions. In: Kleinman A, Goode B, eds. *Culture and Depression*. 1985; 182-215.
10. Schieffelin EL. The cultural analysis of depressive affect: an example from New Guinea. In: Kleinman A, Good B, eds. *Culture and depression: Studies in the anthropology and cross-cultural psychiatry of affect and disorder*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1985: 101-133.
11. Ochs E, Schieffelin B. Language acquisition and socialization: three developmental stories and their implications. In: Shweder R, LeVine RA, eds. *Culture theory: Essays on mind, self, and emotion*. New York: Cambridge University, 1984: 276-320.
12. Hildred G. The vocabulary of emotion: a study of japanese socialization processes. *Psychiatry*. 1959; 22(3): 225-236.

13. Jenkins JH, Kleinman A, Good B. Cross-Cultural Aspects of Depression. In: Becker J, Kleinman A, eds. *Advances in affective disorders: theory and research*. Erlbaum Press, 1991; 67-99.
14. Obeyesekere G. Depression, buddhism, and the work of culture in Sri Lanka. In: Kleinman A, Good B, eds. *Culture and depression*. Berkeley (CA): University of California Press, Culture and depression: studies in the anthropology of cross-cultural psychiatry, 1985: 134-152.
15. Tousignant M, Maldonado M. Sadness, depression and social reciprocity in highland Ecuador. *Social Science and Medicine*. 1989; 28: 899-904.
16. Rosenblatt PC. Grief: the social context of private feelings. *Journal of Social Issues*. 1988; 44(3): 67-78.
17. Averill JR. Grief: its nature and significance. *Psychol Bull*. 1968; 70(6): 721-748. doi: [10.1037/h0026824](https://doi.org/10.1037/h0026824)
18. Rosenblatt PC, Walsh RP, Jackson DA. *Grief and mourning in cross-cultural perspective*. New Haven, CT: Human Relations Area Files Press, 1976.
19. Wikan U. *Managing turbulent hearts: a balinese design for living*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1990: 123-152
20. Lindholm C. *Culture and identity: the history, theory, and practice of psychological anthropology*. Oxford: One World Publishers, 2007.
21. Rosaldo M. Toward an anthropology of self and feeling. In: Sweder RA, LeVine RA, eds. *Culture theory: essays on mind, self, and emotion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984: 134.
22. Levy RI. *Tahitians: mind and experience in the Society Islands*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1973.
23. Lutz CA. *Unnatural emotions: everyday sentiments on a Micronesian atoll and their challenge to western theory*. University of Chicago Press, IL, 1998: 100.
24. Lewis-Fernandez R, Kleinman A. Culture, personality and psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1994; 103: 67-71. doi: [10.1037/0021-843X.103.1.67](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.103.1.67)
25. Miresco MJ, Kirmayer LJ. The persistence of mind-brain dualism in psychiatric reasoning about clinical scenarios. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2006; 163: 913-918.
26. Kirmayer LJ, Young A, Robbins JM. Symptom attribution in cultural perspective. *Can J Psychiatry*. 1994; 39: 584-595.
27. Ryder AG, Chentsova-Dutton YE. Depression in cultural context: Chinese somatization revisited. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2012; 35(1): 15-36. doi: [10.1016/j.psc.2011.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2011.11.006)
28. Kleinman, A. Neurasthenia and depression: A study of somatization and culture in China. *Culture, Medicine, and Psychiatry*. 1982; 6: 117-190. doi: [10.1007/BF00051427](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00051427)
29. Lee DT, Kleinman J, Kleinman A. Rethinking depression: an ethnographic study of the experiences of depression among Chinese. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2007; 15(1): 1-8.
30. Rao D, Young M, Raguram R. Culture, somatization, and psychological distress: symptom presentation in South Indian patients from a public psychiatric hospital. *Psychopathology*. 2007; 40(5): 349-355. doi: [10.1159/000106312](https://doi.org/10.1159/000106312)
31. Dere J, Falk CF, Ryder AG. Unpacking cultural differences in alexithymia: the role of cultural values among Euro-Canadian and Chinese-Canadian students. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*. 2012; 43: 1297-1312. doi: [10.1177/0022022111430254](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022111430254)
32. Chiao JY, Blizinsky KD. Culture-gene coevolution of individualism-collectivism and the serotonin transporter gene. *Proc Biol Sci*. 2010; 277(1681): 529-537. doi: [10.1098/rspb.2009.1650](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1650)
33. Yoo SK. The cultural impact on depression expression and attitudes toward seeking professional help: a comparative study of Americans and South Koreans. *Asia Pacific Education Review*. 2001; 2(1): 94-100. doi: [10.1007/BF03024936](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03024936)
34. Way B, Lieberman MD. Is there a genetic contribution to cultural differences? Collectivism, individualism, and genetic markers of social sensitivity. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. 2010; 5: 203-211. doi: [10.1093/scan/nsq059](https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsq059)
35. Reis HT, Sheldon KM, Gable SL, Roscoe R, Ryan R. Daily well being: the role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 2000; 26: 419-435. doi: [10.1177/0146167200266002](https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167200266002)
36. Ryan RM, Solky JA. What is supportive about social support? on the psychological needs for autonomy and relatedness. In: Pierce GR, Sarason BR, Sarason IG, eds. *Handbook of social support and the family publisher*. New York: Plenum. 1996.
37. Iyengar SS, DeVoe SE. Rethinking the value of choice: considering cultural mediators of intrinsic motivation. In: Murphy-Berman V, Berman J, eds. *Nebraska symposium on motivation: Cross cultural perspectives*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press, 2003: 129-174.
38. Ellsworth PC. Sense, culture, and sensibility. In: Kitayama S, Markus HR, eds. *Emotion and culture: empirical studies of mutual influence*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2006: 11-30.

sociation, 1994: 23-50.

39. Chirkov VI, Ryan RM, Willness C. Cultural context and psychological needs in Canada and Brazil: testing a self-determination approach to internalization of cultural practices, identity and well-being. *Journal of Cross-cultural Psychology*. 2005; 36(4): 425-443. doi: [10.1177/0022022105275960](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022105275960)

40. Ryan RM, Deci EL, Grolnick WS, LaGuardia JG. The significance of autonomy and autonomy support in psychological development and psychopathology. In: Cicchetti D, Cohen D, eds. *Developmental psychopathology: theory and methods*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2006: 295-849.

41. Wright A, Jorm AF, Harris M, McGorry P. What's in a name? is accurate recognition and labelling of mental disorders by young people associated with better help-seeking and treatment preferences? *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 2007; 42: 244-250. doi: [10.1007/s00127-006-0156-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-006-0156-x)

42. Turvey CL, Jogerst G, Kim MY, Frolova E. Cultural differences in depression-related stigma in late-life: a comparison between the USA, Russia, and South Korea. *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2012; 24(10): 1642-1647. doi: [10.1017/S1041610212000816](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610212000816)

43. Durà-Vilà G, Littlewood R, Leavey G. Depression and the medicalization of sadness: conceptualization and recommended help-seeking. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*. 2013; 59(2): 165-175. doi: [10.1177/0020764011430037](https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764011430037)

44. Bellah RN, Madsen R, Sullivan WM, Swindler A, Tipton SM. *Habits of the heart: Individualism and commitment in american life*. New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1985.

45. Tsai JL, Knutson B, Fung HH. Cultural variation in affect valuation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2006; 90: 288-307.

46. Sims T, Tsai JL, Koopmann-Holm B, Thomas EAC, Goldstein MK. Choosing a physician depends on how you want to feel: the role of ideal affect in health-related decision making. *Emotion*. 2014; 14(1): 187-192. doi: [10.1037/a0034372](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034372)

47. Marsella AJ. Culture, self, and mental disorder. In: Marsella AJ, DeVos G, Hsu F, eds. *Culture and self: Asian and western perspectives*. London: Tavistock Press, 1985: 281-308.

48. Wierzbicka A. Cultural scripts: a semantic approach to cultural analysis and cross-cultural communication. In: Bouton L, Kachru Y, eds. *Pragmatics and language learning*. Urbana-Champaign: University of Illinois, 1994: 1-24.

49. Dzokoto V, Okazaki S. Happiness in the eye and the heart: somatic referencing in West African emotion lexica. *Journal of Black Psychology*. 2006; 32: 17-140. doi:

[10.1177/0095798406286799](https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798406286799)

50. White GM. Moral discourse and the rhetoric of emotion. In: Lutz CA, Abu-Lughod L, eds. *Language and the politics of emotion*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1990: 46-68.

51. Misra G. Psychological science in cultural context. *American Psychologist*. 1996; 51: 496-503.

52. Durkheim E, Mauss M. *Primitive classification*. London: Cohen and West, 1963.

53. Izard C. *Human emotions*. New York: Plenum, 1977.

54. Shweder RA. *Thinking through cultures: Expeditions in cultural psychology*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991.

55. Briggs J. *Never in anger: portrait of an Eskimo family*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1970.

56. Rosaldo R. *Culture and truth*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1989.

57. Beatty A. Current emotion research in anthropology: reporting the field. *Emotion Review*. 2013; 5(4): 414-422. doi: [10.1177/1754073913490045](https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073913490045)

58. Biehl J, Good B, Kleinman A. *Subjectivity: Ethnographic investigations*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2007.

59. Csordas TJ. *Body, meaning, healing*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002.

60. Jackson M. *Paths towards a clearing: radical empiricism and ethnographic enquiry*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1989.

61. Lutz CA, White G. The anthropology of emotions. *Annual Review of Anthropol*. 1986; 15: 405-436.

62. Geertz C. *The interpretation of culture*. New York: Basic Books, 1973.

63. Lutz CA. *Unnatural emotions: everyday sentiments on a micronesia atoll and their challenge to western theory*. University of Chicago Press, IL, 1988.