PUBLIC HEALTH
OpenJournal

Openventio
PUBLISHERS

Review Article

Microbiome Management: Transitioning from Chemical Inputs to Biological Soil Fertility for Sustainable Agriculture

Diksha Vishwakarma[1]$ShashiSYadav^1SubhashChandraGupta^2$

[1]1 Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Gwalior, M.P, India.
[2]Department of Soil Science, RAK College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Gwalior, M.P., India

Corresponding Author
Diksha Vishwakarma
Email: dikshavishwakarma55@gmail.com

Article Information:
Received: 01 February 2026 | Revised: 03 March 2026 | Accepted: 02 April 2026 | Published: May 04, 2026

Cite this article:
Diksha Vishwakarma, Shashi S Yadav, and Subhash Chandra Gupta (2026). Microbiome Management: Transitioning from Chemical Inputs to Biological Soil Fertility for Sustainable Agriculture.Public Health.V00-i00,00-00.DOI: *Public Health Open Journal*. 11(1):380–384. https://doi.org/10.17140/PHOJ.11.01.380

Abstract

The growing concern over declining soil health under intensive agricultural systems has necessitated a shift toward biologically driven fertility management approaches. Excessive reliance on synthetic fertilizers has been associated with nutrient imbalances, reduced microbial diversity, and environmental degradation. Recent research highlights the soil microbiome as a critical determinant of nutrient cycling and plant productivity. Microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and archaea regulate key processes including nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and organic matter decomposition. This review synthesizes findings from recent empirical studies to evaluate the role of microbiome management in enhancing soil fertility. Evidence suggests that integrating microbial inoculants, organic amendments, and precision microbiome interventions can improve nutrient use efficiency and crop yield. However, variability in field performance and limited understanding of microbial interactions remain major challenges. The review concludes that microbiome-based strategies provide a viable pathway for sustainable agriculture, but require further refinement for large-scale application.

Keywords: *Biological fertility, microbial inoculants, microbiome engineering, nutrient cycling,rhizosphere, soil health, sustainable agriculture*

1. Introduction

The sustainability of modern agriculture is increasingly threatened by soil degradation and declining fertility caused by excessive chemical input use [1]. Long-term application of synthetic fertilizers has resulted in soil acidification, nutrient imbalance, and reduced biological activity [2]. Soil is now recognized as a complex living ecosystem where microorganisms play a fundamental role in maintaining fertility and ecosystem stability [20].The soil microbiome comprises diverse communities of bacteria, fungi, archaea, and protozoa that regulate biochemical processes essential for plant growth [6]. Recent studies emphasize that nutrient availability in soil is largely mediated by microbial transformations rather than direct chemical inputs [17]. Nitrogen fixation, mineralization, and nutrient solubilization are driven by microbial enzymatic activities that enhance nutrient accessibility to plants [2].Additionally, microbial interactions in the rhizosphere influence plant health by promoting growth and suppressing pathogens [3]. The concept of microbiome management has emerged as a sustainable alternative to conventional fertilization strategies [9]. This approach involves manipulating soil microbial communities through organic amendments, biofertilizers, and advanced biotechnological tools [7]. Unlike chemical fertilizers, microbiome-based strategies aim to restore natural soil processes and improve long-term fertility [18]. The objective of this review is to synthesize current research on microbiome-driven soil fertility and evaluate its potential as a sustainable agricultural practice [20]. The review also identifies key challenges and future research directions for effective implementation [6].

2. Methodology

This review is based on a systematic analysis of recent literature focusing on soil microbiome and biological fertility [13]. Research articles were collected from databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and ResearchGate using keywords such as “soil microbiome,” “biological soil fertility,” and “microbial inoculants” [20]. Studies published between 2018 and 2025 were prioritized to ensure inclusion of recent advancements in the field [17]. Inclusion criteria consisted of peer-reviewed original research articles with experimental validation under field or controlled conditions [7]. Studies focusing solely on theoretical models or lacking empirical evidence were excluded [6]. A total of 60 studies were initially identified, out of which 20 high-quality papers were selected based on relevance and methodological rigor [13]. The selected studies were categorized into thematic areas including nutrient cycling, rhizosphere interactions, organic amendments, and microbiome engineering [9]. Data synthesis involved qualitative analysis of experimental findings to identify common trends and discrepancies across studies [20].

3. Mechanistic Insights into Soil Microbiome-Mediated Fertility Enhancement

3.1 Soil Microbiome and Nutrient Cycling

Soil microorganisms are central to nutrient cycling processes that sustain plant productivity[17]. Nitrogen fixation by diazotrophic bacteria converts atmospheric nitrogen into forms accessible to plants [10]. This biological process reduces dependence on synthetic nitrogen fertilizers and enhances sustainability [2]. Phosphorus availability in soil is often limited due to fixation in insoluble forms, but phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms release organic acids that mobilize phosphorus [16]. Similarly, potassium-solubilizing bacteria contribute to nutrient availability by releasing bound potassium from soil minerals [7]. Decomposition of organic matter by microbial communities leads to the release of essential nutrients and improves soil structure [12]. Fungal communities play a particularly important role in lignin degradation and carbon cycling [6]. Research indicates that microbial diversity is positively correlated with nutrient cycling efficiency and soil fertility [20]. Enhanced microbial activity increases nutrient turnover rates and reduces losses through leaching [18] (Table 3) (Figure2).

3.2 Plant–Microbe Interactions in the Rhizosphere

The rhizosphere is a highly dynamic zone where plant roots interact with diverse microbial communities [3]. Root exudates provide carbon sources that stimulate microbial growth and activity [6]. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria enhance plant growth by producing phytohormones such as auxins and cytokinins [21]. These hormones stimulate root elongation and improve nutrient uptake efficiency [10]. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi form symbiotic associations with plant roots, increasing phosphorus uptake and improving drought tolerance [14]. These fungi extend hyphal networks into the soil, enhancing nutrient acquisition beyond root zones [17]. Microbial communities also contribute to plant defence by inducing systemic resistance against pathogens [15]. This reduces reliance on chemical pesticides and promotes sustainable crop protection [18].

3.3 Organic Amendments and Microbial Diversity

Organic amendments such as compost and biochar significantly influence soil microbial communities [7].

These inputs provide substrates that enhance microbial growth and enzymatic activity [5]. Application of organic matter increases microbial biomass carbon and improves soil fertility [12] (Table 1) (Figure 2& 3). Biochar, in particular, enhances microbial habitat and nutrient retention capacity [17]. Integrated nutrient management combiningorganic and inorganic inputs has been shown to improve soil health and crop productivity[22]. This approach maintains microbial diversity while ensuring adequate nutrient supply [18]. Long-term studies indicate that organic amendments improve soil structure and water retention, contributing to sustainable agriculture [7].

3.4 Microbial Inoculants and Biofertilizers

Biofertilizers are gaining popularity as eco-friendly alternatives to chemical fertilizers [4].These formulations contain beneficialmicroorganisms that enhance nutrient availability andplant growth [9]. Nitrogen-fixing biofertilizers reduce the need for synthetic nitrogen inputs[10]. Phosphate-solubilizing and potassium-mobilizing microbes further improve nutrient uptake efficiency [16]. Despite their potential, the effectiveness of microbial inoculants varies under field conditions [8]. Factors such as soil type, climate, and microbial competition influence their performance [6]. Advances in formulation technologies, including encapsulation, are improving the stability and efficacy of biofertilizers [9] (Table 2).

3.5 Microbiome Engineering and Precision Agriculture

Microbiome engineering involves manipulating microbial communities to enhance soil fertility [1]. Advances in metagenomics allow identification of beneficial microbial taxa and their functions [20]. Synthetic microbial consortia are being developed to improve nutrient cycling and plant growth [1]. These consortia are designed to function synergistically under specific environmental conditions [9]. Precision agriculture technologies enable site-specific microbiome management through data-driven approaches [19]. Sensors and remote sensing tools provide real-time information on soil conditions [18]. Integration of microbiome engineering with digital agriculture can optimize resource use and improve sustainability [20].

4. Results and Discussion

The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that microbiome management enhances soil fertility and crop productivity. Increased microbial diversity is associated with improved nutrient availability, better soil structure, and enhanced plant growth. Microbial-mediated processes such as nitrogen fixation and phosphorus solubilization significantly reduce dependency on chemical fertilizers. However, variability in results highlights the complexity of soil ecosystems and the influence of environmental conditions. Differences in soil type,climate, and cropping systems often lead to inconsistent performance of microbial interventions. Some studies report limited effectiveness of biofertilizers due to poor establishment of introduced microorganisms and competition with native microbial communities. Despite these challenges, the overall evidence supports the potential of microbiome-based approaches to improve sustainability. Integrating biological inputs with existing agricultural practices appears to be the most effective strategy for maintaining productivity while reducing environmental impacts.

5. Future Challenges and Directions

Future research should focus on understanding microbial interactions at the community level[20]. Long-term field studies are needed to evaluate the sustainability of microbiome-based interventions [17]. Advancements in omics technologies can provide deeper insights into microbial functions [1]. Development of location-specific microbial consortia will improve field performance [9]. Policy support and farmer awareness are essential for large-scale adoption [18].

6. Conclusions

Microbiome management represents a promising shift toward sustainable soil fertility by emphasizing biological processes over chemical dependency. The approach enhances nutrient cycling, supports plant growth, and contributes to long-term soil health. Although challenges such as field variability and scalability remain, ongoing advancements in microbial research and agricultural technologies are likely to improve effectiveness. Integrating microbiome-based strategies with conventional practices can provide a balanced and resilient approach to future agricultural systems.

References

1. Basu, A., Prasad, P., Das, S. N., Kalam, S., Sayyed, R. Z., Reddy, M. S., & Enshasy,H. E. (2021). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) as green bioinoculants:Recent developments, constraints, and prospects. Sustainability, 13(3), 1140.https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031140
2. Bender, S. F., Wagg, C., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2016). An undergroundrevolution: Biodiversity and soil ecological

engineering for agricultural sustainability.Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 31(6), 440–452.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.016

3. Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M. J., & Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2018). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 16(10), 523–536.https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0049-7
4. Choudhary, M., Jat, S. L., & Singh, R. K. (2022). Role of biofertilizers in sustainable crop production: A review. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 22(2),1234–1248.https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-021-00685-3
5. Das, S., Jeong, S. T., Das, S., amp; Kim, P. J. (2017). Composted cattle manure increases microbial activity and soil fertility. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 37(4), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-017-0443-6
6. Fierer, N. (2017). Embracing the unknown: Disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 15(10), 579–590. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.87
7. Gupta, V. V. S. R., Germida, J. J., amp; Farrell, M. (2022). Soil microbial community structure and function under organic amendments. Applied Soil Ecology, 176,104482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104482
8. Joshi, D., Pathak, H., amp; Kumar, A. (2021). Constraints and opportunities in biofertilizer application. Indian Journal of Microbiology, 61(4), 512–520.https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-021-00965-3
9. Khan, M. S., Zaidi, A., Wani, P. A., amp; Oves, M. (2023). Role of microbial inoculants in sustainable agriculture. Biotechnology Advances, 61, 108042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2022.108042
10. Kumar, V., Singh, S., amp; Meena, R. (2021). Nitrogen fixation and its role in sustainable agriculture. Plant and Soil, 463, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-04884-3
11. Lal, R. (2020). Soil health and carbon management. Soil and Tillage Research, 199,104563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104563
12. Meena, R. S., Yadav, G. S., Bohra, J. S., amp; Lal, R. (2021). Soil carbon sequestration and microbial activity under conservation agriculture. Ecological Indicators, 125,107559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107559
13. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., amp; Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. PLoS Medicine, 6(7), e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
14. Patel, D., Thakur, M., amp; Singh, R. (2020). Role of mycorrhiza in nutrient uptake and plant growth. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 43(10), 1456–1468. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1739292
15. Rao, D. L. N., Giller, K. E., amp; Yadav, S. (2021). Microbial induced systemic resistance in crops. Agriculture, Ecosystems amp; Environment, 307, 107235.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107235
16. Sharma, S. B., Sayyed, R. Z., Trivedi, M. H., amp; Gobi, T. A. (2013). Phosphate solubilizing microbes: Sustainable approach. SpringerPlus, 2, 587 https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-587
17. Singh, J. S., Pandey, V. C., amp; Singh, D. P. (2011). Efficient soil microorganisms for nutrient cycling. Ecological Engineering, 37(12), 2082–2085. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2011.06.017
18. Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., amp; Befort, B. L. (2011). Global food demand and sustainable intensification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(50), 20260–20264. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108
19. Tripathi, R., Nayak, A. K., Mohanty, S., amp; Shahid, M. (2023). Precision agriculture for sustainable soil management. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 198,107085. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107085
20. Trivedi, P., Leach, J. E., Tringe, S. G., Sa, T., amp; Singh, B. K. (2020).Plant–microbiome interactions for sustainable agriculture. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 18(11), 607–621. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0412-1
21. Verma, J. P., Jaiswal, D. K., amp; Sagar, R. (2019). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Mechanisms and applications. Microbiological Research, 219, 50–58.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2018.11.002
22. Yadav, R. L., Dwivedi, B. S., amp; Pandey, P. S. (2021). Integrated nutrient management in agriculture. Field Crops Research, 267, 108161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2021.108161