

Retrospective Study

Cytomorphologic Study of Breast Lesions: Spectrum of Lesions and Histopathological Correlation

Abel Tefera, MD^{1*}; Lemlem Terefe, MD²; Kitesa Biresa, MPH²¹Department of Pathology, Haramaya University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences (CMHS), Harar, Ethiopia²Department of Pathology, Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC), P. O. Box 5058, Jimma, Ethiopia***Corresponding author****Abel Tefera, MD**

Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Haramaya University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences (CMHS), Harar, Ethiopia;

E-mail: abeltefera0@gmail.com**Article information****Received:** January 26th, 2024; **Revised:** March 19th, 2024; **Accepted:** April 6th, 2024; **Published:** April 19th, 2024**Cite this article**Tefera A, Terefe L, Biresa K. Cytomorphologic study of breast lesions: Spectrum of lesions and histopathological correlation. *Pathol Lab Med Open J.* 2024; 5(1): 1-11. doi: [10.17140/PLMOJ-5-114](https://doi.org/10.17140/PLMOJ-5-114)**ABSTRACT****Introduction**

Breast masses are a diagnostic dilemma in clinical practice, even if majority of them are benign. Hence, a reliable and rapid diagnostic modality is crucial in the management of breast lesions, and fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) has been considered a reliable, rapid and economical method when combined with clinical and radiological findings, the triple test, to accurately diagnose palpable breast masses. It has an estimated sensitivity of 90-99% and a diagnostic accuracy of 96.2%.

Objectives

To describe cytomorphologic patterns of breast lesions and to determine diagnostic accuracy of FNAC.

Methods

A 3 year retrospective cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted. All patients who had breast FNAC from September 2019 to August 2022 at pathology department of Jimma University Medical Centre (JUMC) and that fulfilled the eligibility criteria were selected along with their matching histopathology reports. The collected data was coded, cleaned, and entered into Epidata 3.1, then exported to SPSS v26 and analyzed. Descriptive analysis was done for frequency and distribution of the disease. The risk of malignancy (ROM) for each International Academy of Cytology (IAC) yokahama category was determined and diagnostic performance was evaluated based on a three-category approach.

Results

An increasing occurrence of malignant breast lesions was observed, from 21.7% in 2019/20 to 29.9% in 2021/22. The mean age was 41.4 ± 13.38 and 28.7 ± 11.3 for malignant and benign breast lesions respectively. The most common benign breast lesion was fibroadenoma 322 (38%) in females and gynecomastia 75 (87.2%) in males. The ROM for each category was for C1 66.6 %, C2 0%, C3 30.5%, C4 54.5% and C5 98.7 %. The absolute sensitivity, complete sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 77.2%, 100%, 99.1%, 98.7% and 100%, respectively.

Conclusion

There is an increasing incidence of malignant breast lesions with majority of the cases occurring in younger patients. The higher sensitivity and specificity FNAC in diagnosing malignant lesions supports its use.

Keywords

Breast mass; Breast cancer; FNAC; Risk of malignancy.

INTRODUCTION

Breast masses are a diagnostic dilemma in clinical practice, despite the fact that more than 90% of the cases are benign, on account of the probability of cancer.¹ Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women worldwide representing about 24.5%

of all new cancer cases, as well as the 4th leading cause of cancer death. In Ethiopia, breast cancer (BC) is the most prevalent cancer, accounting for 31.9% of the total new cancer cases and the leading cause of cancer related death, according to GLOBOCAN 2020.^{2,3}

About 80% of reported cancer cases are diagnosed at ad-

vanced stages in Ethiopia, when very little can be done to treat the disease, leading to low patient survival. This is largely due to poor awareness of cancer signs and symptoms, an absence of organized early detection programs, and poor facilities for accurate and timely diagnosis and treatment. Therefore, early diagnosis of BC is an important early-detection strategy, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where the disease is diagnosed in late stages and resources are very limited.⁴⁻⁶

Various diagnostic methods have been developed to evaluate palpable and non-palpable breast lesions with the goal of identifying a sensitive, specific, efficient, and economical approach to diagnosing breast cancer. Physical examination, mammography, ultrasonography, fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), core needle biopsy, and open excisional biopsy are all used to a greater or lesser extent in the diagnostic workup of a palpable breast mass.^{1,7}

In developed countries, core needle biopsy (CNB) is preferable to FNAC since it offers greater specificity than FNAC in the diagnosis of lesions associated with micro-calcifications, the diagnosis of certain proliferative lesions and low-grade ductal carcinoma *in situ*, as well as for confirming invasive carcinoma. In addition, CNB offers the ability to perform necessary prognostic marker testing and reduces rates of “indeterminate” interpretations. However, it has some drawbacks when compared to FNAC, such as being a more invasive procedure with a higher rate of complications, a less rapid turn-around time to diagnosis, greater expense, and an increased risk of carcinoma seeding.^{8,9}

FNAC of palpable breast masses, however, has gained popularity as a diagnostic method as it provides a sensitive, expedient, and economical method of obtaining cytologic material for examination. Its distinct advantages include accurate diagnosis, low cost, excellent patient acceptance, and minimal or no morbidity.⁹

Beside the merits mentioned, FNAC also has its own limitations, such as false negatives, false positives, and suspicious results. The accuracy of results depends on several factors, such as sample adequacy, localization technique, aspiration techniques and skill, the experience of the pathologist interpreting the smear, and the overlapping cytological features between some benign and malignant neoplasms. The accuracy of FNAC is optimized when it is performed by cytopathologists, experienced radiologists, or clinicians in multidisciplinary clinics, utilizing ultrasound guidance as required with rapid on-site evaluation (ROSE) of Giemsa-stained slides to triage cases.^{9,10}

Overall, breast FNAC can attain a sensitivity of 96.3% (90-99%), specificity of 98.8%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of malignancy approaching 100% and a high degree of accuracy that is up to 96.2%.^{9,11}

It is crucial that performance testing in breast cytology, like in all other diagnostic modalities, be repeatedly and regularly evaluated by a standardized and reproducible system due to the complex circumstances surrounding the benefits and limitations of these modalities.¹⁰

OBJECTIVE

General Objective

To assess the cytopathologic patterns of breast lesions and to correlate them with their corresponding histopathologic results at JUMC, south-west Ethiopia.

Specific Objective

- To describe the cytopathologic patterns of breast lesions at JUMC
- To evaluate the Cyto-histopathologic correlation of breast lesions at JUMC
- To determine the diagnostic accuracy of FNAC

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area and Period

The study was conducted at JUMC, pathology department which is located in Jimma, south-west Ethiopia. FNAC is performed by either a pathology resident or a pathologist using 21 G needle attached to 5 cc or 10 cc syringe. Smears are air dried and stained by Wright stain without ROSE. The study was conducted from September 2019 to August 2022.

Study Design

A retrospective cross-sectional descriptive study design was implemented.

Study Population

All patients who had FNAC performed for breast lesions at JUMC from September 2019 to August 2022.

Inclusion Criteria

All patients who had undergone breast FNAC at JUMC during the study period.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with recurrence of tumor.
- Patients with repeated FNAC from a similar lesion.
- FNAC reports that do not have all of the following variables: patient age, sex, and cytopathologic diagnosis.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

All breast FNAC reports from September 2019 to August 2022 in the archive of department of pathology, JUMC were retrieved using non-probability convenient sampling method.

Data Collection Tools and Procedures

Relevant information was collected using a structured checklist containing demographic and clinicopathologic questions by two first-

year pathology residents (MD) and one lab technician (BSC), under the close supervision and assistance of the principal investigator.

Study Variables

- FNAC diagnostic category
- FNAC specific diagnosis
- Histopathologic diagnosis
- Demographic factors: Age, Sex
- Mobility of the mass

Operational Definition

FNAC diagnostic category: FNAC diagnostic category are the categories utilized in the infrastructure as code (IAC) Yokohama reporting system; which is Insufficient/inadequate (C1), Benign (C2), Atypical (C3), Suspicious of malignancy (C4), and Malignant (C5) (Annexure).

Cases diagnosed as cellular fibroadenoma were categorized as benign; since the diagnosis was made mostly due to increased epithelial not stromal cellularity.

Atypical category: Atypical category includes cases diagnosed as atypical not otherwise specified, papillary lesions, phyllodes tumor, atypical with biopsy recommended, and atypical proliferative lesions.

Inflammatory breast lesion: Inflammatory breast lesion: includes mastitis, abscess, granulomatous inflammation and tuberculosis (TB).

Histopathologic diagnosis: A gold standard diagnostic method performed by preparing tissue for the study of the origin, structure and pathology. The diagnosis of the definitive management procedure was taken whenever there was more than one histopathologic result.

On histopathology; atypical ductal hyperplasia was categorized as benign and borderline phyllodes tumor was categorized as malignant.

Risk of malignancy (ROM): The number of confirmed malignant cases per total number of cases in the diagnostic category.

Absolute sensitivity: Percentage of cases cytologically rated as 'malignant (C5)' among all cases of 'adequate' samples histologically rated as malignant.

Complete sensitivity: Percentage of cases cytologically rated as 'C3', 'C4 or 'C5' among all cases of 'adequate' samples histologically rated as malignant.

Inadequate rate: Percentage of cases cytologically rated as 'Insufficient/inadequate (C1)' among the total aspirated cases.

Suspicious rate: Percentage of cases cytologically rated as Atypical (C3) or Suspicious of malignancy (C4) among the total aspirated cases.

Data Processing and Analysis

The collected data was coded, cleaned, and entered into Epidata 3.1, then exported to Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) v26 and analyzed. A descriptive analysis was done for the frequency and distribution of the disease.

Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, negative predictive value (NPV), accuracy ratios, and ROM were calculated using three cut-off points to define malignancy (Table 1). Insufficient/inadequate reports were excluded from the analysis, for these calculations. The findings were presented using text, tables, and diagrams.

Table 1. Cut off for Diagnostic Categories for Diagnosis of Malignancy to Calculate Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPV, and Accuracy

Categories	Explanation
Category A	Benign, atypical, and suspicious of malignancy categories were all classified as non-malignant, whereas only the malignant category was classified as malignant
Category B	Benign and atypical categories were considered non-malignant but suspected of malignancy, and malignant categories were considered malignant
Category C	Only the benign category was categorized as benign (non-malignant), whereas atypical lesions, suspected of malignancy, and malignant categories were labeled as malignant

Data Quality Control

Two-day training was given to the data collectors on how to retrieve, categorize, and record the data. The principal investigator closely supervised and assisted the data collectors while they retrieved and recorded the data. The principal investigator also rechecked every data for completeness and accuracy every day to ensure the quality of the data.

Limitation of the Study

Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology results are not computerized and compiled with the patient's clinical data; thus, associated factors with breast lesions cannot be assessed. It is also difficult to identify repeated and recurrent cases due to the absence of a constant identification code. In addition, there is no archive for FNAC slides, so it was not possible to review slides and determine the probable reason for cyto-histopathologically discordant cases.

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics

A total of 1437 breast FNAC cases, which were performed between September 2019 and August 2022, were analyzed with a mean of 479 cases per year. Majority of the cases were females 1318 (91.7%), with a female to male ratio of 11.1. The overall mean age of patients was 32.2 (range: 9 months to 90 years) with a standard deviation of 12.96. The most commonly affected age group was 20-29 years old in females and 40-49 years old in males,

as summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. OMF Mass Distribution with the Anatomic Site in JUMC from 2016 to 2021				
		Sex		Total
		Male	Female	
Age group	under 10	0	2	2
	10-19	7	154	161
	20-29	17	521	538
	30-39	20	329	349
	40-49	26	158	184
	50-59	16	69	85
	60-69	18	40	58
	≥70	13	13	26
Total		117	1286	1403

Majority of the cases 718 (67.8%) presented with mobile mass and 96 (9.07%) cases were fixed (Figure 1).

Cytopathologic Patterns of Breast Lesions

Among the total 1437 breast FNAC cases, 2 of them didn't have a cytopathologic diagnosis and they were removed from further analysis. The remaining 1435 cases were distributed according to the IAC Yokohama reporting system (Figure 2). The inadequate rate was 2.5% whereas the suspicious rate was 10.5%.

In both sexes, benign lesions were the dominant lesions, with benign to malignant ratio of 2.94:1 and 3.18:1 in females and males respectively.

The peak age of incidence for malignant breast lesions was the 4th decade (31.8%) with mean age of 41.4 ± 13.38 and

Figure 1. Pie Chart Showing Mobility of the Breast Masses, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia, November 2022. (n=1437)





Figure 2. Pie Chart Showing Overall Proportions of Diagnostic Category of FNAC, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia, November 2022 (n=1437)



70.1% of these cases were below the age of 50 years. However, for benign breast disease, the 3rd decade (46.5%) was the peak age of incidence, with a mean age of 28.7 ± 11.3 . There were 2 malignant cases diagnosed before the age of 20, a 16 and an 18 year old girls diagnosed with Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma of Intramammary lymph node and breast carcinoma respectively. The majority of benign as well as malignant cases presented with a mobile mass, as shown in Figure 3.

Out of the total 1435 cases, it was possible to classify 1268 (88.2%) cases as neoplastic and non-neoplastic. Majority of the cases 763 (60.2%) were neoplastic in nature and most of them were benign (69.2%).

However, majority of benign breast lesions were non-neoplastic (54.1%). The most common benign breast lesion in females was fibroadenoma 322 (38%), followed by fibrocystic change 192 (22.7%), and inflammatory breast lesions 146 (17.2%). The mean

age at diagnosis of fibroadenoma was 23.2 ± 7.1 , with 85.4% of the cases between 10 and 30 years of age, whereas 30.6 ± 9.2 for FCC. The majority of inflammatory breast lesions occurred in the age group of 20-40 years, with a mean age of 29.1 ± 9.2 , and abscess was the most common inflammatory lesion (39%).

On the other side, gynecomastia was the most common benign breast lesion in males (75, 87.2%), followed by lipoma and inflammatory breast lesions, each accounting for 3 (3.5%) cases.

There was a single case of fibrocystic change in 36-year-old male who presented with bilateral breast lumpiness. The mean age at diagnosis of gynecomastia was 43.1 ± 17.6 , with peak age at the 5th decade.

Out of 110 cases categorized as atypical, majority of them were proliferative lesion with atypia 35 (31.82%) (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Bar Graph Showing Distribution of Breast FNAC Diagnostic Categories with Mobility of the Mass, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia; November 2022. (n=1437)






Figure 4. Pie Chart Showing Distribution of Subtypes of Atypical Breast Lesions, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia; November 2022 (n=1437)



The number of malignant cases generally increased from 98 (21.7%) in 2019/20 to 112 (29.9%) in 2021/22 despite the decrement of total FNAC cases. The trend of breast cancer in the last

3 years is given in Figure 5. Ductal carcinoma was the most common malignant breast lesion 289 (91.7%), followed by non-hodg-kin lymphoma-3 (NHL-3) (1%).



Cyto-Histopathologic Correlation

A total of 212 breast FNAC cases were found to have a subsequent histopathologic diagnosis. The histopathologic results were categorized as malignant 103 (47.58%), benign 107 (50.47%) and non-diagnostic 2 (0.94%). The two non-diagnostic cases were removed from further analysis.

The ROM for each of the IAC Yokohama category was calculated, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Cross Tabulation Showing the Cyto-Histopathologic Correlation along with the Risk of Malignancy (ROM) of each IAC Yokohama Category, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia, November 2022 (n=212)

		Histopathologic Diagnosis			ROM %
		Non-Malignant	Malignant	Total	
Diagnostic category of FNAC	Inadequate	1	2	3	66.6
	Benign	70	0	70	0
	Atypical	25	11	36	30.5
	Suspicious for malignancy	10	12	22	54.5
	Malignant	1	78	79	98.7
	Total	107	103	210	

Comparison of the cytological results that had a determinate diagnosis 149 (72%) with their corresponding histological diagnosis revealed that almost all 148 (99.3%) cases were concordant (TP=78, TN=70) with a false negative rate of 0%. Only one case was discordant (false positive), which was diagnosed as ductal carcinoma on FNAC but later diagnosed as gynecomastia on biopsy. The false positive rate was 0.3% (Figure 6).

Among the 36 atypical cases, majority 25 (69.4%) were diagnosed as benign on biopsy, fibroadenoma being the commonest 9 (36%), followed by FCC 7 (28%). In contrast, malignancy was slightly predominant among the suspicious of malignancy category; FCC and usual ductal hyperplasia were the main types of benign diagnosis in this category.

Diagnostic performance of FNAC was calculated using the IAC Yokohama category, under different diagnostic cut-offs for diagnosing malignant breast disease, as shown in Table 4. The absolute sensitivity, complete sensitivity and specificity were 77.2%, 100% and 99.1%.

DISCUSSION


Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women in the

Table 4. The Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, and NPV for Breast FNAC Using the IAC Yokohama System, Under Different Diagnostic Cut-offs for Diagnosing Malignant Breast Disease, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia, November 2022 (n=212)

	Category A	Category B	Category C
Sensitivity	77.2% (67.82, 84.98)	89.1% (81.35, 94.44)	100% (96.41, 100)
Specificity	99.1% (94.86, 99.98)	89.6% (82.19, 94.7)	66% (56.2, 74.96)
PPV	98.7% (91.71, 99.82)	89.1% (82.32, 93.5)	73.7% (68.27, 78.53)
NPV	82% (76.11, 86.74)	89.6% (83.12, 93.81)	100%
Diagnostic accuracy	88.4% (83.24, 92.43)	89.4% (84.35, 93.22)	82.6% (76.75, 87.51)

Category A: only malignant cases considered positive; category B: suspicious and malignant cases considered positive; category C: atypical, suspicious, and malignant cases considered positive. NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value
Note: The 95% Confidence intervals are given in square brackets.

Figure 6. Diagram Showing the Cyto-Histologic Correlation of 210 Breast FNAC Results, JUMC, Jimma, Ethiopia, November 2022 (n=212)



world, as well as in Ethiopia, and its incidence is rising, especially in low- and middle-income countries.^{2,4}

The trend of breast cancer has also been shown to increase in the last 3 years, from 21.7 % of the total breast lesions in 2019/20 to 29.9% in 2021/22. This is in agreement with the studies by Boyle et al¹² and Abate et al.¹³ This finding appears to be attributable to a mixture of various factors such as; earlier age at menarche, women having fewer children and having their first child at a later age, increased body mass index and a reduction in physical activity.

Overall, malignant breast lesion constituted 22% of all lesions in this study, which is in line with reports from Ethiopia (21%), Kenya (26%), and USA (26.6%).¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In contrast, it was higher than a report from a previous study done in the same hospital as the current study, which reported 17%, as well as reports from India and Thailand that showed 13.7% and 15%, respectively.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ This higher value may suggest a possibility of higher prevalence or increasing rates of breast cancer, or it may be due to the increase in people's awareness of breast cancer and its diagnosis; further research is needed.

The peak age of incidence of breast cancer was in the 4th and 5th decade, which accounted for 53.1% of malignant cases, and this is in agreement with studies done in India that showed a peak age of incidence in the 5th decade, with more than 60% of malignant cases below the age of 50, but lower than reports from the USA and Norway, which reported the 6th and 8th decade, respectively.²⁰⁻²²

More than two-thirds of breast cancer cases are diagnosed in women aged 50 and older in developed countries. In contrast, this study showed more than 70% of breast cancer cases

being diagnosed before the age of 50, generally reflecting the breast cancer trend in developing countries.^{13,19,23} This increased occurrence of breast cancer in younger individuals likely reflects the younger age structure of the source population, consequent to higher fertility and a shorter life expectancy.⁴

Overall, fibroadenoma (38%) was the commonest sub-type of benign breast lesion in females, followed by FCC (22.7%), which is supported by the studies from Eritrea and Nigeria.^{24,25}

Among males, gynecomastia was the most common benign lesion (87.2%), with a mean age of 43.1, which is consistent with other studies done in Nigeria and Saudi Arabia, except for the higher mean age, which reported a mean age of 33.2 and 31 years, respectively.^{25,26} This observation can be further investigated by follow-up studies, including associated factors, in the future.

Interestingly, there was a single case of FCC in a male patient, which is in contrast with most studies that reported FCC only in females.^{19,24} Although rare, there are case reports of FCC in males that are confirmed histologically, but our patient doesn't have histologic diagnosis.²⁷ This observation also needs further study.

Inflammatory breast lesion constituted 17.2% of the total benign lesions in females, and in keeping with the established associations of breast inflammations with pregnancy and lactation, the present study found most patients (73.9%) in the reproductive age group, which is consistent with other studies.^{19,28} During the reproductive age, breasts show periodic changes with menstruation, increased blood flow, and dilated ducts during pregnancy and lactation, making them more susceptible to infections.²⁸

The associated ROM was calculated for each category of IAC yokohama reporting system, the ROM for C1 was 66.6 %, and for C2 was 66.6 %, and for C3 was 66.6 %, and for C4 was 66.6 %, and for C5 was 66.6 %, and for C6 was 66.6 %, and for C7 was 66.6 %, and for C8 was 66.6 %, and for C9 was 66.6 %, and for C10 was 66.6 %, and for C11 was 66.6 %, and for C12 was 66.6 %, and for C13 was 66.6 %, and for C14 was 66.6 %, and for C15 was 66.6 %, and for C16 was 66.6 %, and for C17 was 66.6 %, and for C18 was 66.6 %, and for C19 was 66.6 %, and for C20 was 66.6 %, and for C21 was 66.6 %, and for C22 was 66.6 %, and for C23 was 66.6 %, and for C24 was 66.6 %, and for C25 was 66.6 %, and for C26 was 66.6 %, and for C27 was 66.6 %, and for C28 was 66.6 %, and for C29 was 66.6 %, and for C30 was 66.6 %, and for C31 was 66.6 %, and for C32 was 66.6 %, and for C33 was 66.6 %, and for C34 was 66.6 %, and for C35 was 66.6 %, and for C36 was 66.6 %, and for C37 was 66.6 %, and for C38 was 66.6 %, and for C39 was 66.6 %, and for C40 was 66.6 %, and for C41 was 66.6 %, and for C42 was 66.6 %, and for C43 was 66.6 %, and for C44 was 66.6 %, and for C45 was 66.6 %, and for C46 was 66.6 %, and for C47 was 66.6 %, and for C48 was 66.6 %, and for C49 was 66.6 %, and for C50 was 66.6 %, and for C51 was 66.6 %, and for C52 was 66.6 %, and for C53 was 66.6 %, and for C54 was 66.6 %, and for C55 was 66.6 %, and for C56 was 66.6 %, and for C57 was 66.6 %, and for C58 was 66.6 %, and for C59 was 66.6 %, and for C60 was 66.6 %, and for C61 was 66.6 %, and for C62 was 66.6 %, and for C63 was 66.6 %, and for C64 was 66.6 %, and for C65 was 66.6 %, and for C66 was 66.6 %, and for C67 was 66.6 %, and for C68 was 66.6 %, and for C69 was 66.6 %, and for C70 was 66.6 %, and for C71 was 66.6 %, and for C72 was 66.6 %, and for C73 was 66.6 %, and for C74 was 66.6 %, and for C75 was 66.6 %, and for C76 was 66.6 %, and for C77 was 66.6 %, and for C78 was 66.6 %, and for C79 was 66.6 %, and for C80 was 66.6 %, and for C81 was 66.6 %, and for C82 was 66.6 %, and for C83 was 66.6 %, and for C84 was 66.6 %, and for C85 was 66.6 %, and for C86 was 66.6 %, and for C87 was 66.6 %, and for C88 was 66.6 %, and for C89 was 66.6 %, and for C90 was 66.6 %, and for C91 was 66.6 %, and for C92 was 66.6 %, and for C93 was 66.6 %, and for C94 was 66.6 %, and for C95 was 66.6 %, and for C96 was 66.6 %, and for C97 was 66.6 %, and for C98 was 66.6 %, and for C99 was 66.6 %, and for C100 was 66.6 %, and for C101 was 66.6 %, and for C102 was 66.6 %, and for C103 was 66.6 %, and for C104 was 66.6 %, and for C105 was 66.6 %, and for C106 was 66.6 %, and for C107 was 66.6 %, and for C108 was 66.6 %, and for C109 was 66.6 %, and for C110 was 66.6 %, and for C111 was 66.6 %, and for C112 was 66.6 %, and for C113 was 66.6 %, and for C114 was 66.6 %, and for C115 was 66.6 %, and for C116 was 66.6 %, and for C117 was 66.6 %, and for C118 was 66.6 %, and for C119 was 66.6 %, and for C120 was 66.6 %, and for C121 was 66.6 %, and for C122 was 66.6 %, and for C123 was 66.6 %, and for C124 was 66.6 %, and for C125 was 66.6 %, and for C126 was 66.6 %, and for C127 was 66.6 %, and for C128 was 66.6 %, and for C129 was 66.6 %, and for C130 was 66.6 %, and for C131 was 66.6 %, and for C132 was 66.6 %, and for C133 was 66.6 %, and for C134 was 66.6 %, and for C135 was 66.6 %, and for C136 was 66.6 %, and for C137 was 66.6 %, and for C138 was 66.6 %, and for C139 was 66.6 %, and for C140 was 66.6 %, and for C141 was 66.6 %, and for C142 was 66.6 %, and for C143 was 66.6 %, and for C144 was 66.6 %, and for C145 was 66.6 %, and for C146 was 66.6 %, and for C147 was 66.6 %, and for C148 was 66.6 %, and for C149 was 66.6 %, and for C150 was 66.6 %, and for C151 was 66.6 %, and for C152 was 66.6 %, and for C153 was 66.6 %, and for C154 was 66.6 %, and for C155 was 66.6 %, and for C156 was 66.6 %, and for C157 was 66.6 %, and for C158 was 66.6 %, and for C159 was 66.6 %, and for C160 was 66.6 %, and for C161 was 66.6 %, and for C162 was 66.6 %, and for C163 was 66.6 %, and for C164 was 66.6 %, and for C165 was 66.6 %, and for C166 was 66.6 %, and for C167 was 66.6 %, and for C168 was 66.6 %, and for C169 was 66.6 %, and for C170 was 66.6 %, and for C171 was 66.6 %, and for C172 was 66.6 %, and for C173 was 66.6 %, and for C174 was 66.6 %, and for C175 was 66.6 %, and for C176 was 66.6 %, and for C177 was 66.6 %, and for C178 was 66.6 %, and for C179 was 66.6 %, and for C180 was 66.6 %, and for C181 was 66.6 %, and for C182 was 66.6 %, and for C183 was 66.6 %, and for C184 was 66.6 %, and for C185 was 66.6 %, and for C186 was 66.6 %, and for C187 was 66.6 %, and for C188 was 66.6 %, and for C189 was 66.6 %, and for C190 was 66.6 %, and for C191 was 66.6 %, and for C192 was 66.6 %, and for C193 was 66.6 %, and for C194 was 66.6 %, and for C195 was 66.6 %, and for C196 was 66.6 %, and for C197 was 66.6 %, and for C198 was 66.6 %, and for C199 was 66.6 %, and for C200 was 66.6 %, and for C201 was 66.6 %, and for C202 was 66.6 %, and for C203 was 66.6 %, and for C204 was 66.6 %, and for C205 was 66.6 %, and for C206 was 66.6 %, and for C207 was 66.6 %, and for C208 was 66.6 %, and for C209 was 66.6 %, and for C210 was 66.6 %, and for C211 was 66.6 %, and for C212 was 66.6 %, and for C213 was 66.6 %, and for C214 was 66.6 %, and for C215 was 66.6 %, and for C216 was 66.6 %, and for C217 was 66.6 %, and for C218 was 66.6 %, and for C219 was 66.6 %, and for C220 was 66.6 %, and for C221 was 66.6 %, and for C222 was 66.6 %, and for C223 was 66.6 %, and for C224 was 66.6 %, and for C225 was 66.6 %, and for C226 was 66.6 %, and for C227 was 66.6 %, and for C228 was 66.6 %, and for C229 was 66.6 %, and for C230 was 66.6 %, and for C231 was 66.6 %, and for C232 was 66.6 %, and for C233 was 66.6 %, and for C234 was 66.6 %, and for C235 was 66.6 %, and for C236 was 66.6 %, and for C237 was 66.6 %, and for C238 was 66.6 %, and for C239 was 66.6 %, and for C240 was 66.6 %, and for C241 was 66.6 %, and for C242 was 66.6 %, and for C243 was 66.6 %, and for C244 was 66.6 %, and for C245 was 66.6 %, and for C246 was 66.6 %, and for C247 was 66.6 %, and for C248 was 66.6 %, and for C249 was 66.6 %, and for C250 was 66.6 %, and for C251 was 66.6 %, and for C252 was 66.6 %, and for C253 was 66.6 %, and for C254 was 66.6 %, and for C255 was 66.6 %, and for C256 was 66.6 %, and for C257 was 66.6 %, and for C258 was 66.6 %, and for C259 was 66.6 %, and for C260 was 66.6 %, and for C261 was 66.6 %, and for C262 was 66.6 %, and for C263 was 66.6 %, and for C264 was 66.6 %, and for C265 was 66.6 %, and for C266 was 66.6 %, and for C267 was 66.6 %, and for C268 was 66.6 %, and for C269 was 66.6 %, and for C270 was 66.6 %, and for C271 was 66.6 %, and for C272 was 66.6 %, and for C273 was 66.6 %, and for C274 was 66.6 %, and for C275 was 66.6 %, and for C276 was 66.6 %, and for C277 was 66.6 %, and for C278 was 66.6 %, and for C279 was 66.6 %, and for C280 was 66.6 %, and for C281 was 66.6 %, and for C282 was 66.6 %, and for C283 was 66.6 %, and for C284 was 66.6 %, and for C285 was 66.6 %, and for C286 was 66.6 %, and for C287 was 66.6 %, and for C288 was 66.6 %, and for C289 was 66.6 %, and for C290 was 66.6 %, and for C291 was 66.6 %, and for C292 was 66.6 %, and for C293 was 66.6 %, and for C294 was 66.6 %, and for C295 was 66.6 %, and for C296 was 66.6 %, and for C297 was 66.6 %, and for C298 was 66.6 %, and for C299 was 66.6 %, and for C300 was 66.6 %, and for C301 was 66.6 %, and for C302 was 66.6 %, and for C303 was 66.6 %, and for C304 was 66.6 %, and for C305 was 66.6 %, and for C306 was 66.6 %, and for C307 was 66.6 %, and for C308 was 66.6 %, and for C309 was 66.6 %, and for C310 was 66.6 %, and for C311 was 66.6 %, and for C312 was 66.6 %, and for C313 was 66.6 %, and for C314 was 66.6 %, and for C315 was 66.6 %, and for C316 was 66.6 %, and for C317 was 66.6 %, and for C318 was 66.6 %, and for C319 was 66.6 %, and for C320 was 66.6 %, and for C321 was 66.6 %, and for C322 was 66.6 %, and for C323 was 66.6 %, and for C324 was 66.6 %, and for C325 was 66.6 %, and for C326 was 66.6 %, and for C327 was 66.6 %, and for C328 was 66.6 %, and for C329 was 66.6 %, and for C330 was 66.6 %, and for C331 was 66.6 %, and for C332 was 66.6 %, and for C333 was 66.6 %, and for C334 was 66.6 %, and for C335 was 66.6 %, and for C336 was 66.6 %, and for C337 was 66.6 %, and for C338 was 66.6 %, and for C339 was 66.6 %, and for C340 was 66.6 %, and for C341 was 66.6 %, and for C342 was 66.6 %, and for C343 was 66.6 %, and for C344 was 66.6 %, and for C345 was 66.6 %, and for C346 was 66.6 %, and for C347 was 66.6 %, and for C348 was 66.6 %, and for C349 was 66.6 %, and for C350 was 66.6 %, and for C351 was 66.6 %, and for C352 was 66.6 %, and for C353 was 66.6 %, and for C354 was 66.6 %, and for C355 was 66.6 %, and for C356 was 66.6 %, and for C357 was 66.6 %, and for C358 was 66.6 %, and for C359 was 66.6 %, and for C360 was 66.6 %, and for C361 was 66.6 %, and for C362 was 66.6 %, and for C363 was 66.6 %, and for C364 was 66.6 %, and for C365 was 66.6 %, and for C366 was 66.6 %, and for C367 was 66.6 %, and for C368 was 66.6 %, and for C369 was 66.6 %, and for C370 was 66.6 %, and for C371 was 66.6 %, and for C372 was 66.6 %, and for C373 was 66.6 %, and for C374 was 66.6 %, and for C375 was 66.6 %, and for C376 was 66.6 %, and for C377 was 66.6 %, and for C378 was 66.6 %, and for C379 was 66.6 %, and for C380 was 66.6 %, and for C381 was 66.6 %, and for C382 was 66.6 %, and for C383 was 66.6 %, and for C384 was 66.6 %, and for C385 was 66.6 %, and for C386 was 66.6 %, and for C387 was 66.6 %, and for C388 was 66.6 %, and for C389 was 66.6 %, and for C390 was 66.6 %, and for C391 was 66.6 %, and for C392 was 66.6 %, and for C393 was 66.6 %, and for C394 was 66.6 %, and for C395 was 66.6 %, and for C396 was 66.6 %, and for C397 was 66.6 %, and for C398 was 66.6 %, and for C399 was 66.6 %, and for C400 was 66.6 %, and for C401 was 66.6 %, and for C402 was 66.6 %, and for C403 was 66.6 %, and for C404 was 66.6 %, and for C405 was 66.6 %, and for C406 was 66.6 %, and for C407 was 66.6 %, and for C408 was 66.6 %, and for C409 was 66.6 %, and for C410 was 66.6 %, and for C411 was 66.6 %, and for C412 was 66.6 %, and for C413 was 66.6 %, and for C414 was 66.6 %, and for C415 was 66.6 %, and for C416 was 66.6 %, and for C417 was 66.6 %, and for C418 was 66.6 %, and for C419 was 66.6 %, and for C420 was 66.6 %, and for C421 was 66.6 %, and for C422 was 66.6 %, and for C423 was 66.6 %, and for C424 was 66.6 %, and for C425 was 66.6 %, and for C426 was 66.6 %, and for C427 was 66.6 %, and for C428 was 66.6 %, and for C429 was 66.6 %, and for C430 was 66.6 %, and for C431 was 66.6 %, and for C432 was 66.6 %, and for C433 was 66.6 %, and for C434 was 66.6 %, and for C435 was 66.6 %, and for C436 was 66.6 %, and for C437 was 66.6 %, and for C438 was 66.6 %, and for C439 was 66.6 %, and for C440 was 66.6 %, and for C441 was 66.6 %, and for C442 was 66.6 %, and for C443 was 66.6 %, and for C444 was 66.6 %, and for C445 was 66.6 %, and for C446 was 66.6 %, and for C447 was 66.6 %, and for C448 was 66.6 %, and for C449 was 66.6 %, and for C450 was 66.6 %, and for C451 was 66.6 %, and for C452 was 66.6 %, and for C453 was 66.6 %, and for C454 was 66.6 %, and for C455 was 66.6 %, and for C456 was 66.6 %, and for C457 was 66.6 %, and for C458 was 66.6 %, and for C459 was 66.6 %, and for C460 was 66.6 %, and for C461 was 66.6 %, and for C462 was 66.6 %, and for C463 was 66.6 %, and for C464 was 66.6 %, and for C465 was 66.6 %, and for C466 was 66.6 %, and for C467 was 66.6 %, and for C468 was 66.6 %, and for C469 was 66.6 %, and for C470 was 66.6 %, and for C471 was 66.6 %, and for C472 was 66.6 %, and for C473 was 66.6 %, and for C474 was 66.6 %, and for C475 was 66.6 %, and for C476 was 66.6 %, and for C477 was 66.6 %, and for C478 was 66.6 %, and for C479 was 66.6 %, and for C480 was 66.6 %, and for C481 was 66.6 %, and for C482 was 66.6 %, and for C483 was 66.6 %, and for C484 was 66.6 %, and for C485 was 66.6 %, and for C486 was 66.6 %, and for C487 was 66.6 %, and for C488 was 66.6 %, and for C489 was 66.6 %, and for C490 was 66.6 %, and for C491 was 66.6 %, and for C492 was 66.6 %, and for C493 was 66.6 %, and for C494 was 66.6 %, and for C495 was 66.6 %, and for C496 was 66.6 %, and for C497 was 66.6 %, and for C498 was 66.6 %, and for C499 was 66.6 %, and for C500 was 66.6 %, and for C501 was 66.6 %, and for C502 was 66.6 %, and for C503 was 66.6 %, and for C504 was 66.6 %, and for C505 was 66.6 %, and for C506 was 66.6 %, and for C507 was 66.6 %, and for C508 was 66.6 %, and for C509 was 66.6 %, and for C510 was 66.6 %, and for C511 was 66.6 %, and for C512 was 66.6 %, and for C513 was 66.6 %, and for C514 was 66.6 %, and for C515 was 66.6 %, and for C516 was 66.6 %, and for C517 was 66.6 %, and for C518 was 66.6 %, and for C519 was 66.6 %, and for C520 was 66.6 %, and for C521 was 66.6 %, and for C522 was 66.6 %, and for C523 was 66.6 %, and for C524 was 66.6 %, and for C525 was 66.6 %, and for C526 was 66.6 %, and for C527 was 66.6 %, and for C528 was 66.6 %, and for C529 was 66.6 %, and for C530 was 66.6 %, and for C531 was 66.6 %, and for C532 was 66.6 %, and for C533 was 66.6 %, and for C534 was 66.6 %, and for C535 was 66.6 %, and for C536 was 66.6 %, and for C537 was 66.6 %, and for C538 was 66.6 %, and for C539 was 66.6 %, and for C540 was 66.6 %, and for C541 was 66.6 %, and for C542 was 66.6 %, and for C543 was 66.6 %, and for C544 was 66.6 %, and for C545 was 66.6 %, and for C546 was 66.6 %, and for C547 was 66.6 %, and for C548 was 66.6 %, and for C549 was 66.6 %, and for C550 was 66.6 %, and for C551 was 66.6 %, and for C552 was 66.6 %, and for C553 was 66.6 %, and for C554 was 66.6 %, and for C555 was 66.6 %, and for C556 was 66.6 %, and for C557 was 66.6 %, and for C558 was 66.6 %, and for C559 was 66.6 %, and for C560 was 66.6 %, and for C561 was 66.6 %, and for C562 was 66.6 %, and for C563 was 66.6 %, and for C564 was 66.6 %, and for C565 was 66.6 %, and for C566 was 66.6 %, and for C567 was 66.6 %, and for C568 was 66.6 %, and for C569 was 66.6 %, and for C570 was 66.6 %, and for C571 was 66.6 %, and for C572 was 66.6 %, and for C573 was 66.6 %, and for C574 was 66.6 %, and for C575 was 66.6 %, and for C576 was 66.6 %, and for C577 was 66.6 %, and for C578 was 66.6 %, and for C579 was 66.6 %, and for C580 was 66.6 %, and for C581 was 66.6 %, and for C582 was 66.6 %, and for C583 was 66.6 %, and for C584 was 66.6 %, and for C585 was 66.6 %, and for C586 was 66.6 %, and for C587 was 66.6 %, and for C588 was 66.6 %, and for C589 was 66.6 %, and for C590 was 66.6 %, and for C591 was 66.6 %, and for C592 was 66.6 %, and for C593 was 66.6 %, and for C594 was 66.6 %, and for C595 was 66.6 %, and for C596 was 66.6 %, and for C597 was 66.6 %, and for C598 was 66.6 %, and for C599 was 66.6 %, and for C600 was 66.6 %, and for C601 was 66.6 %, and for C602 was 66.6 %, and for C603 was 66.6 %, and for C604 was 66.6 %, and for C605 was 66.6 %, and for C606 was 66.6 %, and for C607 was 66.6 %, and for C608 was 66.6 %, and for C609 was 66.6 %, and for C610 was 66.6 %, and for C611 was 66.6 %, and for C612 was 66.6 %, and for C613 was 66.6 %, and for C614 was 66.6 %, and for C615 was 66.6 %, and for C616 was 66.6 %, and for C617 was 66.6 %, and for C618 was 66.6 %, and for C619 was 66.6 %, and for C620 was 66.6 %, and for C621 was 66.6 %, and for C622 was 66.6 %, and for C623 was 66.6 %, and for C624 was 66.6 %, and for C625 was 66.6 %, and for C626 was 66.6 %, and for C627 was 66.6 %, and for C628 was 66.6 %, and for C629 was 66.6 %, and for C630 was 66.6 %, and for C631 was 66.6 %, and for C632 was 66.6 %, and for C633 was 66.6 %, and for C634 was 66.6 %, and for C635 was 66.6 %, and for C636 was 66.6 %, and for C637 was 66.6 %, and for C638 was 66.6 %, and for C639 was 66.6 %, and for C640 was 66.6 %, and for C641 was 66.6 %, and for C642 was 66.6 %, and for C643 was 66.6 %, and for C644 was 66.6 %, and for C645 was 66.6 %, and for C646 was 66.6 %, and for C647 was 66.6 %, and for C648 was 66.6 %, and for C649 was 66.6 %, and for C650 was 66.6 %, and for C651 was 66.6 %, and for C652 was 66.6 %, and for C653 was 66.6 %, and for C654 was 66.6 %, and for C655 was 66.6 %, and for C656 was 66.6 %, and for C657 was 66.6 %, and for C658 was 66.6 %, and for C659 was 66.6 %, and for C660 was 66.6 %, and for C661 was 66.6 %, and for C662 was 66.6 %, and for C663 was 66.6 %, and for C664 was 66.6 %, and for C665 was 66.6 %, and for C666 was 66.6 %, and for C667 was 66.6 %, and for C668 was 66.6 %, and for C669 was 66.6 %, and for C670 was 66.6 %, and for C671 was 66.6 %, and for C672 was 66.6 %, and for C673 was 66.6 %, and for C674 was 66.6 %, and for C675 was 66.6 %, and for C676 was 66.6 %, and for C677 was 66.6 %, and for C678 was 66.6 %, and for C679 was 66.6 %, and for C680 was 66.6 %, and for C681 was 66.6 %, and for C682 was 66.6 %, and for C683 was 66.6 %, and for C684 was 66.6 %, and for C685 was 66.6 %, and for C686 was 66.6 %, and for C687 was 66.6 %, and for C688 was 66.6 %, and for C689 was 66.6 %, and for C690 was 66.6 %, and for C691 was 66.6 %, and for C692 was 66.6 %, and for C693 was 66.6 %, and for C694 was 66.6 %, and for C695 was 66.6 %, and for C696 was 66.6 %, and for C697 was 66.6 %, and for C698 was 66.6 %, and for C699 was 66.6 %, and for C700 was 66.6 %, and for C701 was 66.6 %, and for C702 was 66.6 %, and for C703 was 66.6 %, and for C704 was 66.6 %, and for C705 was 66.6 %, and for C706 was 66.6 %, and for C707 was 66.6 %, and for C708 was 66.6 %, and for C709 was 66.6 %, and for C710 was 66.6 %, and for C711 was 66.6 %, and for C712 was 66.6 %, and for C713 was 66.6 %, and for C714 was 66.6 %, and for C715 was 66.6 %, and for C716 was 66.6 %, and for C717 was 66.6 %, and for C718 was 66.6 %, and for C719 was 66.6 %, and for C720 was 66.6 %, and for C721 was 66.6 %, and for C722 was 66.6 %, and for C723 was 66.6 %, and for C724 was 66.6 %, and for C725 was 66.6 %, and for C726 was 66.6 %, and for C727 was 66.6 %, and for C728 was 66.6 %, and for C729 was 66.6 %, and for C730 was 66.6 %, and for C731 was 66.6 %, and for C732 was 66.6 %, and for C733 was 66.6 %, and for C734 was 66.6 %, and for C735 was 66.6 %, and for C736 was 66.6 %, and for C737 was 66.6 %, and for C738 was 66.6 %, and for C739 was 66.6 %, and for C740 was 66.6 %, and for C741 was 66.6 %, and for C742 was 66.6 %, and for C743 was 66.6 %, and for C744 was 66.6 %, and for C745 was 66.6 %, and for C746 was 66.6 %, and for C747 was 66.6 %, and for C748 was 66.6 %, and for C749 was 66.6 %, and for C750 was 66.6 %, and for C751 was 66.6 %, and for C752 was 66.6 %, and for C753 was 66.6 %, and for C754 was 66.6 %, and for C755 was 66.6 %, and for C756 was 66.6 %, and for C757 was 66.6 %, and for C758 was 66.6 %, and for C759 was 66.6 %, and for C760 was 66.6 %, and for C761 was 66.6 %, and for C762 was 66.6 %, and for C763 was 66.6 %, and for C764 was 66.6 %, and for C765 was 66.6 %, and for C766 was 66.6 %, and for C767 was 66.6 %, and for C768 was 66.6 %, and for C769 was 66.6 %, and for C770 was 66.6 %, and for C771 was 66.6 %, and for C772 was 66.6 %, and for C773 was 66.6 %, and for C774 was 66.6 %, and for C775 was 66.6 %, and for C776 was 66.6 %, and for C777 was 66.6 %, and for C778 was 66.6 %, and for C779 was 66.6 %, and for C780 was 66.6 %, and for C781 was 66.6 %, and for C782 was 66.6 %, and for C783 was 66.6 %, and for C784 was 66.6 %, and for C785 was 66.6 %, and for C786 was 66.6 %, and for C787 was 66.6 %, and for C788 was 66.6 %, and for C789 was 66.6 %, and for C790 was 66.6 %, and for C791 was 66.6 %, and for C792 was 66.6 %, and for C793 was 66.6 %, and for C794 was 66.6 %, and for C795 was 66.6 %, and for C796 was 66.6 %, and for C797 was 66.6 %, and for C798 was 66.6 %, and for C799 was 66.6 %, and for C800 was 66.6 %, and for C801 was 66.6 %, and for C802 was 66.6 %, and for C803 was 66.6 %, and for C804 was 66.6 %, and for C805 was 66.6 %,

which is higher than the other studies that reported a ROM ranging from 2.6-45.4%.^{11,29} The higher ROM is likely due to the very small number of inadequate cases in this study; only 3 inadequate cases were analyzed.

Surprisingly, the benign category showed no ROM (0%) which was lower than the other reports in Ethiopia (4.4%), Kenya (12%), and the USA (4.7%).^{15,30,31} Late presentation of breast cancer patients at an advanced stage and a lower threshold for diagnosis of atypia could be some of the reasons for this null ROM.

The ROM in the atypical category was 30.3%, which is comparable with the studies done in Kenya and Pakistan that reported 25 % and 30.6%, respectively (27,44), but higher than other reports from the USA (13%) and Australia (15.7%).^{31,32}

The ROM for suspicious of malignancy was 54.5%, which is comparable with a study done in Kenya (46%), but lower than reports from various literatures.^{11,33} This finding of higher ROM in the atypical category and lower ROM in the suspicious category may suggest overlooking malignant cells and over interpreting benign atypical cells as suspicious. This observation can be further investigated by reviewing FNAC slides and conducting follow-up studies in the future.

As anticipated, the highest specificity was obtained in category A (99.1%), while the highest sensitivity was achieved in category C (100%). This is comparable to various studies done in Africa, Asia, and the USA.^{11,15,18}

The suspicious rate in this study was 10.5%, which is comparable to studies done in the USA (8.8%), Nigeria (8%).^{16,34} It is also far below the standard cut off proposed by UKBSP, which is below 25%.¹⁰

Reported inadequate rates in literatures ranges from 0.7% to 47%.^{9,35} However, in this study the inadequate rate of FNAC was low (2.5%), which is in agreement with the recommendation by the IAC yokahama group of a less than 5% insufficient rate. The large variation in inadequate rate can be due to various reasons, such as differences in definition of inadequacy, the qualities of the FNAC operator, use of rapid on-site cytological evaluation (ROSE) and so on.³¹

CONCLUSION

Benign breast lesions are the most common breast lesions, the majority non-neoplastic, emphasizing the usefulness of FNAC in avoiding unnecessary surgeries done due to fear of cancer. Breast cancer is increasing in incidence, with more than 70% of the cases being diagnosed before the age of 50.

Breast FNAC is an accurate, sensitive, and highly specific test enabling effective diagnosis of breast lesions, with absolute sensitivity and specificity of 77.2% and 99.1% respectively.

The importance of cytopathological analysis of palpable

breast lesions cannot be overemphasized, especially on account of most of the cancers being mobile and, at a younger age, mimicking benign lesions clinically.

For the identification of malignant lesions, FNAB has proven to be highly sensitive and specific. As a result, it can be utilized in low- and middle-income countries where radiological facilities are scarce, and CNB is not readily available and too costly.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Jimma University as well-permission to conduct the study was obtained from the Department of Pathology.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Naz S, Khan I, Goreja HR. Diagnostic accuracy of fine needle aspiration cytology in palpable breast lump; Our local experience. *FNAC In Palpable Breast lump*. 2017; 12(3): 153-156.
2. World Health Organization (WHO). Global Cancer observatory World fact Sheet. *Int Agency Res Cancer*. 2020; 23(7): 323-326.
3. WHO. Global Cancer Observatory Ethiopia Fact Sheet. *Int Agency Res Cancer*. 2021; 133: 2020-2021.
4. Jedy-agba E, McCormack V, Adebamowo C. Stage at diagnosis of breast cancer in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Heal*. 2016; 4(12): e923e935. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30259-5
5. FMOH. National Cancer Control Plan 2016-2020 of Ethiopia. 2015. Website. <https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/NCCP%20Ethiopia%20Final%20.pdf>. Accessed January 24, 2024.
6. Ayele W, Addissie A, Wienke A, Unverzagt S. Breast awareness, self-reported abnormalities, and breast cancer in rural Ethiopia: A survey of 7, 573 women and predictions of the National Burden. *Oncologist*. 2021; 26(6): e1009-e1017. doi: 10.1002/onco.13737
7. Ergete W. Original article Fine-needle aspiration of palpable breast lesions with histopathologic correlation. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*. 1999; 13(3).
8. Orell SR, Sterret GF. *Orell & Sterrett's Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology*. 5th ed. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier; 2012.
9. Evans MRB. The International Academy of Cytology Yokohama System for Reporting Breast Fine Needle Aspiration Biopsy Cytopathology. 2020.
10. Singh N, Wells CA. Assessment of accuracy in breast cytol-

- ogy. *Cytopathology*. 2001; 12(4): 211-218. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2303.2001.00344.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2303.2001.00344.x)
11. Hoda RS, Brachtel EF. International academy of cytology yokohama system for reporting breast fine-needle aspiration biopsy cytopathology: A review of predictive values and risks of malignancy. *Acta Cytol*. 2019; 63(4): 292-301. doi: [10.1159/000500704](https://doi.org/10.1159/000500704)
12. Boyle P, Howell A. The globalisation of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2010; 12(SUPPL. 4): S7. doi: [10.1186/bcr2736](https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2736)
13. Abate SM, Yilma Z, Assefa M, Tigeneh W. Trends of breast cancer in Ethiopia. *Int J Cancer Res Mol Mech*. 2016; 2(1): doi: [10.16966/2381-3318.121](https://doi.org/10.16966/2381-3318.121)
14. Taye AA, Gemechu T. Fine needle aspiration cytology of breast lesions: A clinicocytologic review of 1211 cases. *Ethiop Med J*. 1998; 36(4): 219-225.
15. Clinic C, Mchugh KE, Fellow C, Pathology RJT. Concordance of breast fine needle aspiration cytology interpretation with subsequent surgical pathology: An 18 year review from a single sub-saharan African institution. 2019; 2.
16. Boerner S, Fornage BD, Singletary E, Sneige N. Ultra-sound-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA) of nonpalpable breast lesions: A review of 1885 FNA cases using the National Cancer Institute- supported recommendations on the uniform approach to breast FNA. *Cancer*. 1999; 87(1): 19-24. doi: [10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0142\(19990225\)87:1<19::AID-CNCR4>3.0.CO;2-K](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19990225)87:1<19::AID-CNCR4>3.0.CO;2-K)
17. Abera W. Cytomorphological patterns of palpable breast lesions diagnosed on fine needle aspiration cytology in Jimma Hospital, Ethiopia. 2020; 1-11. doi: [10.21203/rs.3.rs-62422/v1](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-62422/v1)
18. Ya-in C, Wisedmongkol W, Rangdaeng S, Thorner P. Effectiveness of fine-needle aspiration cytology of breast: Analysis of 2,375 cases from Northern. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2002; 26(3): 201-205. doi: [10.1002/dc.10067](https://doi.org/10.1002/dc.10067)
19. Kumbhakar D, Talukdar PP. Cytopathological patterns of palpable breast lumps on fine needle aspiration - a hospital based study. *Journal of Evidence Based Medicine and Healthcare*. 2021; 8(06): 319-326. doi: [10.18410/jebmh/2021/62](https://doi.org/10.18410/jebmh/2021/62)
20. Akter S, Islam MJ, Haque MS. Fine needle aspiration cytology of palpable breast lumps - their cytological spectrum and histopathological correlation. *KYAMC J*. 2017; 7(2): 780-786.
21. Jemal A, Ward E, Thun MJ. Recent trends in breast cancer incidence rates by age and tumor characteristics among U.S. women. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2007; 9(3): R28. doi: [10.1186/bcr1672](https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr1672)
22. Larønningen S, Larsen IK, Møller B, Engholm G, Storm HH, Johannesen TB. NORDCAN - Cancer data from the Nordic countries. 2013; 1-56.
23. Almobarak AO, Elhassan TM, Elhoweris MH, Awadalla HM, Elmadhoun WMY, Ahmed MH. Cytomorphologic patterns of breast lesions in sudanese patients: Lessons learned from fine needle aspiration cytology. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev*. 2014; 15(8): 3411-3413. doi: [10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.8.3411](https://doi.org/10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.8.3411)
24. Embaye KS, Raja SM, Gebreyesus MH. Distribution of breast lesions diagnosed by cytology examination in symptomatic patients at Eritrean National Health Laboratory, Asmara, Eritrea: A retrospective study. *BMC Womens Health*. 2020; 20(1): 250. doi: [10.1186/s12905-020-01116-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-020-01116-0)
25. Ugiagbe E, Olu-Eddo A. Benign breast lesions in an African population: A 25-year histopathological review of 1864 cases. *Niger Med J*. 2011; 52(4): 211-216. doi: [10.4103/0300-1652.93790](https://doi.org/10.4103/0300-1652.93790)
26. Jamal A. Pattern of breast diseases in a teaching hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J*. 2001; 22: 110-113.
27. Robertson KE, Kazmi SA, Jordan LB. Female-type fibrocystic disease with papillary hyperplasia in a male breast. *J Clin Pathol*. 2010; 63(1): 88-89. doi: [10.1136/jcp.2009.071043](https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.2009.071043)
28. Saadaat R, Abdul-Ghafar J, Haidary AM, Rahmani S, Atta N. Age distribution and types of breast lesions among Afghan women diagnosed by fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) at a tertiary care centre in Afghanistan: A descriptive cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2020; 10(9): e037513. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037513](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037513)
29. Niaz M, Khan AA, Ahmed S, Rafi R, Salim H, Khalid K, et al. Risk of malignancy in breast FNAB categories, classified according to the newly proposed International Academy of Cytology (IAC) Yokohama System. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2022; 14: 1693-1701. doi: [10.2147/CMAR.S362155](https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S362155)
30. Belihu N. Cytopathologic review of breast lesions and correlation with histopathologic results at Tikur Anbessa specialized Hospital; A six years and eight months retrospective study from 2015-2021. 2021. Website: <https://etd.aau.edu.et/items/7b741d-fa-b5c2-492d-ad98-3be33bbd7e2a>. Accessed January 24, 2024.
31. Field AS, Raymond WA, Rickard M, Arnold L, Brachtel EF, Chaiwun B, et al. The international academy of cytology yokohama system for reporting breast fine-needle aspiration biopsy cytopathology. *Acta Cytol*. 2019; 63(4): 257-273. doi: [10.1159/000499509](https://doi.org/10.1159/000499509)
32. Montezuma D, Malheiros D, Schmitt FC. Breast fine needle aspiration biopsy cytology using the newly proposed iac yokohama system for reporting breast cytopathology: The experience of a single institution. *Acta Cytol*. 2019; 1-6. doi: [10.1159/000492638](https://doi.org/10.1159/000492638)
33. Ghanghoria S, Soni S, Patidar H, Likhar K. Pattern of palpable breast lesions on fine needle aspiration cytology: A retrospective and prospective analysis of 1,000 cases. 2016; 5(1): 97-101. doi: [10.5455/ijmsph.2016.2306201524](https://doi.org/10.5455/ijmsph.2016.2306201524)
34. UKAH CO. Correlation of cytological and histological diagnoses of patients with breast lesions seen at the university college

- hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria- a ten year retrospective study (January 1996-december 2005). 2007.
35. Pisano EP, Fajardo LL, Caudry DJ, et al. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy of nonpalpable breast lesions in a multicenter clinical trial: Results from the radiologic diagnostic oncology Group V. *Radiology*. 2001; 219(3): 785-792. doi: [10.1148/radiology.219.3.r01jn28785](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.219.3.r01jn28785)

ANNEXURE

The International Academy of Cytology Yokohama System for Reporting Breast Fine Needle Aspiration (Diagnostic category)

Insufficient/Inadequate: This category is used when the smears are too sparsely cellular or distorted to allow a microscopic diagnosis or the aspirate is inconsistent with the clinical and image findings. Since this is a subjective diagnosis an explanation of why the sample is insufficient/inadequate should be given.

Benign: This diagnostic category is used when the sample is adequate and shows no evidence of malignancy.

Atypical: This category is used with the presence of cytological features seen predominantly in benign processes or lesions, but with the addition of some features that are uncommon in benign lesions and which may be seen in malignant lesions

Suspicious of malignancy: This diagnostic category is used when the smears show features suggestive of but not diagnostic of malignancy. The malignant cells may be too scanty, obscured by artifact or show atypical features more marked than in the atypical or indeterminate category but not diagnostic of malignancy.

Malignant: This diagnostic category is used when the aspirate is clearly malignant. This diagnostic category includes invasive breast carcinoma, ductal carcinoma in-situ and other malignancies.