

Research

*Corresponding author

Carlos Alberto da Rocha Rosa, LD, PhD
Departamento de Microbiologia e
Imunologia Veterinária
Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de
Janeiro (UFRRJ)
Seropédica, RJ 23890-000, Brazil
Tel. +552126814610
Fax: +552126814610
E-mail: shalako1953@gmail.com

Volume 1 : Issue 1

Article Ref. #: 1000VMOJ1106

Article History

Received: April 16th, 2016

Accepted: July 11th, 2016

Published: July 11th, 2016

Citation

Keller LAM, Aronovich M, Keller KM, Castagna AA, Cavaglieri LR, da Rocha Rosa CA. Incidence of mycotoxins (AFB₁ and AFM₁) in feeds and dairy farms from Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *Vet Med Open J.* 2016; 1(1): 29-35. doi: [10.17140/VMOJ-1-106](https://doi.org/10.17140/VMOJ-1-106)

Copyright

©2016 Yimer N. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Incidence of Mycotoxins (AFB₁ and AFM₁) in Feeds and Dairy Farms from Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil

Luiz Antonio Moura Keller, PhD¹; Marcos Aronovich, PhD²; Kelly Moura Keller, PhD³; Antonio Airtton Castagna, DSc²; Lilia Renee Cavaglieri, PhD⁴; Carlos Alberto da Rocha Rosa, LD, PhD⁵

¹Departamento de Zootecnia e Desenvolvimento Agrossocioambiental Sustentável, Universidade Federal Fluminense (UFF), Rua Vital Brasil Filho, 64, Vital Brasil, Niterói, RJ 24220-900, Brazil

²Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (PESAGRO-RJ), Alameda São Boaventura, 770, Fonseca, Niterói, RJ 24120-191, Brazil

³Departamento de Medicina Veterinária Preventiva, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Presidente Carlos Luz, 4466, São Luiz, Belo Horizonte, MG 31250-810, Brazil

⁴Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología. Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto. Ruta 36 km 601, Río Cuarto, Córdoba, 5800, Argentina

⁵Departmentode Microbiologia e Imunologia Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (UFRRJ); Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa Científica e Tecnológica (CNPQ), Rodovia BR 465 - Km 7 - Campus Universitário - Zona Rural, Seropédica, RJ 23890-000, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Brazil has regions located at the largest dairy production and milk derivate industry concentration, supplying the major consumer markets, represented by São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro and Belo Horizonte Cities. The milk is the most important product of Brazilian agriculture, because it is always presents in daily diet. The aim of this research was evaluating the occurrence of mycotoxins in the feed of dairy cattle and the occurrence of AFM₁ in milk under field conditions in farms from Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. The results revealed that, total fungal counts was found in 67% of feed samples which exceeded the recommended limit recommended (1×10^4 UFC.g⁻¹) and the incidence of AFM₁ in all milk samples was 26.7% at concentrations ranging from 0.010 to 1.500 µg.L⁻¹. The study revealed toxigenic fungi and their mycotoxins were present in feed intended for bovine feeding in Rio de Janeiro farms. Evaluations of mycotoxin levels are important to provide information so that the assessments of risk for animal feed and livestock environment can be done.

KEYWORDS: Mycotoxins; Aflatoxin M₁; Brazil feeds; Dairy farms; Toxigenic fungi.

ABBREVIATIONS: Afs: Aflatoxins; IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer; DRBC: Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloranphenicol; CFU: Colony-forming units; MEA: Malt Extract Agar; CLA: Carnation Leaf Agar; OTA: Ochratoxin A; HPLC: High Performance Liquid Chromatography.

INTRODUCTION

Brazil is a largest milk producer, growing at annual rate of 4% and higher than in countries occupying the first positions: It generates 66% of the total volume of milk produced in the countries comprising the MERCOSUR.¹ The country has regions that are located at the largest dairy production and milk derivate industry concentration, supplying the major consumer markets, represented by São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro and Belo Horizonte Cities. The milk is the most important product of Brazilian agriculture, because it is always presents in daily diet, enhancing

the quest for product quality shall be continuous.²

Mycotoxins are metabolites produced by certain species of filamentous fungus and can cause various toxic effects in animals.³ Human and animal exposure to aflatoxins (AFs) can occur primarily by ingesting contaminated food and feed, mainly cereals and grains, such as corn, wheat, and peanuts, among others. Eighteen (18) different types of AFs were identified, but only aflatoxin B₁(AFB₁), B₂(AFB₂), G₁(AFG₁) and G₂(AFG₂) were detected as natural contaminants and feed ingredients and AFB₁ toxin has a great toxicity.⁴⁻⁶ A continuous intake of AFB₁ for lactating animals leads to excretion of aflatoxin M₁(AFM₁).⁷ Toxic effects related to this carcinogen have been extensively demonstrated,⁸ therefore, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)⁹ classified it as a probable human carcinogen factor.

The occurrence of AFM₁ in pasteurized milk in Brazil and MERCOSUR is variable,¹⁰⁻¹⁵ but the observed levels are usually below the tolerance limit of 0.5 µg.L⁻¹ determined by current standards.¹⁶ The occurrence of AFB₁ and other mycotoxins in feed ingredients for dairy cattle is relatively common in Brazil.¹⁷⁻²⁰ However, there are few studies on the incidence of AFM₁ in milk in South America, mainly in milk Brazilian production regions.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the occurrence of mycotoxins in the feed of dairy cattle and the occurrence of AFM₁ in milk under field conditions in farms from Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling

The samples were collected in Rio de Janeiro State, from January 2011 to 2014 in basins that produce milk at different scales (≤ 150 L/day, from 150-300 L/day and ≥ 300 L/day), from the prevalent areas of milk production. The periods established for sampling were two semi-annual collections in order to evaluate the seasonal influences in samples. A total of 240 raw milk samples (80 samples of each production level), 120 pasteurized milk samples and 160 samples of feed provided to the animal, were collected.

All sampled dairy farms used diets with soybean and corn, purchased and stored locally. Other ingredients included cottonseed, sorghum silage, forages, corn silage, sugar cane, citrus pulp, barley and wheat. Five aliquots of 500 g of feed were collected from different points of the trough feeding, homogenized and used sterile polypropylenes packaging for a final sample of each basin. Samples for AFM₁ determination were collected during milking of the animals, from the milk cooling tank and after process of pasteurization on the industrial process. Two sterile vials with 500 mL of milk were collected to compose the final sample for each basin from selected region. These samples were transported in an isothermal box to laboratories of

PESAGRO-RJ and Núcleo de Pesquisa Micológica e Micotoxicológica (NPMM) da UFRRJ for final (24 h).

Physical Evaluation of the Samples

Dry matter percentage (DM%) and pH of the samples were evaluated²¹ and Water activity (a_w) was determined using an AQUALAB CX2 (Decagon Devices, Inc., USA) appliance.

Mycological Survey

Total fungal counts from each sample were performed onto three different culture media: Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloranphenicol (DRBC) agar, a general medium used for estimating total culturable mycobiota²²; Dichloran 18% Glycerol agar (DG18), a low a_w medium that favours xerophilic fungi development²³; and Nash and Snyder agar (NSA), a selective medium for *Fusarium spp.* counts.²⁴ Quantitative enumeration was done using the surface-spread method. Ten grams of each sample were homogenized in 90 mL 0.1% peptone water solution for 30 min in an orbital shaker. Serial dilutions (10^{-2} to 10^{-3}) were made and 0.1 mL aliquots were inoculated in duplicates onto the culture media. Plates were incubated at 25 °C for 7-10 days in darkness. Nash-Snyder plates were incubated at 24 °C for 7 days under a 12 h cold white/12 h black fluorescent light photoperiod. Only plates containing 10-100 colony-forming units (CFU) were used for counting. The results were expressed as CFU per gram of sample (CFU.g⁻¹). Representative colonies of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium spp.* were transferred for sub-culturing to tubes containing malt extract agar (MEA) and *Fusarium spp.* were transferred to carnation leaf agar (CLA). Fungal species were identified according to taxonomic specific protocols.²⁵⁻²⁷ The results were expressed as isolation frequency of the fungal genera (% of samples in which each genera was present) and relative density of each fungal species (% of isolation of each species among strains of the same genera).²³

Toxicogenic Profile of Fungal Isolates

The ability to produce ochratoxin A (OTA) by potentially producer strains isolated from samples (*A. carbonarius*, *A. niger* aggregate and *A. ochraceus*) was tested.²⁸ Aflatoxins production was evaluated in all *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* isolates.²⁹ *Fusarium*-toxins: primarily fumonisin B₁(FB₁) and zearalenone (ZEA), produced by the isolates.³⁰

Mycotoxins Analysis in Feed

For mycotoxins determination in the feed, the samples were evaluated for screening method to qualify toxigenic conditions and make a quantitative previous evaluation. The Vicam[®] fluorometer (4ex series) engaged with specific immunoaffinity columns (Vicam[®], Watertown, MA, USA). All positive samples were confirmed by a High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) evaluation.

The AFB₁ determination in the feed samples was done

in parallel of screening method, following the procedures recommended by the manufacturer of solid phase and cleanup phase columns Mycosep (Romer® Labs, Inc., Union, MO, USA) and evaluated by HPLC.

All feed samples were previously crushed and homogenized. Then, the analytical sample was placed in a blender along with specific solvent. The extract was filtered and collected for passage through the columns. The separation and quantification of mycotoxins was conducted on a HPLC system (JASCO LC 2000, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a fluorescence detector (excitation: 360 nm and emission: 440 nm). The quantification of mycotoxins in the samples was performed by interpolation of the areas of the chromatographic peaks obtained in the samples in the calibration curve regression equation with specific external standards (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Analysis of aflatoxin M₁: The extraction and purification of samples for the determination of AFM₁ were performed in duplicate according to the recommendations,³¹ with adjustments proposed by the manufacturer of immunoaffinity columns (Aflatest®. Vicam, Watertown, MA, USA) as described by Oliveira et al.³² In summary, the analytical sample (25 mL) was preheated to 37 °C, added with 1 g of NaCl, and subject to centrifugation (2.500 g, 15 min), after which it was directly passed through immunoaffinity column connected to a vacuum system (flow 2-3 mL.min⁻¹). After the sample elution, the column was washed by passing 20 mL of ultrapure water (Milli Q, Millipore) and methanol (9:1, v.v⁻¹). The final purified elute was diluted with ultrapure water to form a solution of methanol-water (7:3, v.v⁻¹), similar to the HPLC mobile phase. The identification and quantification of AFM₁ residues were conducted with the injection of 20 µL of the extracts of the samples in the HPLC system (JASCO LC 2000) of methanol-water (7:3, v.v⁻¹) at a flow rate of 0.8 mL.min⁻¹. Under these conditions, the retention time for approximately 3.7 min. The calibration curve was prepared using AFM₁ standard

(Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) previously solutions evaluated according to Scott (1990), at doses of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 ng.mL⁻¹.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis were performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The test of least-significant differences (LSD) was used to determine the significant differences between means. Analysis was conducted using PROC GLM in SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Statistical significance was indicated by p<0.05.

RESULTS

Physical evaluation of the samples did not show significant differences in DM%, pH and a_w values for seasonal samples. The mean (DM%) of 47.17±5.76%, pH values varied from 3.88 to 4.89 and a_w varying between 0.729 and 0.985.

The total Fungal Counts are specified at Table 1 (7.3*10⁵-1.4*10³ CFU.g⁻¹). The profiles of isolated strains, Table 2, approximately sixty percent of *A. flavus* and *A. parasiticus* (79 out of 136 isolates), were able to produce AFB₁ and AFB₂ at ranges from 0.02 to 25.0 µg.kg⁻¹. Of twenty five strains of *A. niger* aggregate isolates (23%) showed ability to produce at ranges from 0.05 to 10.0 µg.g⁻¹ of OTA. All strains of *F. verticillioides* able to produce FB₁ at ranges from 0.2 to 8.0 µg.g⁻¹.

When the samples of feed contaminated with AFB₁ were compared, the range levels (0.2-50.0 µg.kg⁻¹) and the frequency of the contaminated milk samples showed in 75% with AFM₁ at range levels (0.05-1.50 µg.L⁻¹). The quantification limits for AFB₁ and AFM₁ were 0.02 and 0.05 µg.L⁻¹, respectively, considering the minimum amount of toxin that could produce a chromatographic peak three times the baseline standard deviation. The Table 2 shows data on milk yield level, the number of posi-

Samples	Season	Total fungal counts (CFU g ⁻¹) Mean ± SD	
		Culture media	
		DRBC	DG18
Corn and Corn meal	Su	5.8x10 ⁵ ± 1.0x10 ⁴ ab	5.8x10 ⁴ ± 1.0x10 ⁴ ab
	Au	4.3x10 ⁴ ± 1.6x10 ⁴ a	4.3x10 ⁴ ± 1.6x10 ⁴ a
	Wi	3.4x10 ⁵ ± 1.5x10 ⁴ b	4.4x10 ⁵ ± 1.5x10 ⁴ b
	Sp	5.7x10 ⁵ ± 1.5x10 ⁴ b	5.7x10 ⁵ ± 1.5x10 ⁴ b
Corn Silage and Wheat Brew Silage	Su	7.3x10 ⁵ ± 2.8x10 ⁵ a	3.4x10 ⁴ ± 1.4x10 ⁴ a
	Au	9.2x10 ⁴ ± 9.1x10 ³ ab	3.6x10 ⁴ ± 1.0x10 ⁴ a
	Wi	7.3x10 ⁴ ± 1.0 x 10 ⁴ ab	3.6x10 ⁴ ± 1.3x10 ⁴ a
	Sp	1.3x10 ⁴ ± 8.5x10 ³ a	3.8x10 ⁴ ± 1.1x10 ⁴ a

^aReferring to DRBC culture medium; SD: Standard deviation; Detection limit: 10² CFU.g⁻¹. ^{ab} Values indicated with different letters are significantly different according to LSD test (p<0.05 for seasonal period samples). Su: Summer, Au: autumn, Wi: winter, Sp: spring.

Table 1: Total fungal counts (CFU g⁻¹) found in feed samples collected from different farms in four (4) seasonal periods of the year and evaluated on DRBC and DG18 media.

Milk production scale	Season	Aflatoxin levels range ($\mu\text{gKg}^{-1}/\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$)					
		AFB ₁		AFM ₁ (no processed milk)		AFM ₁ (processed milk)	
		%	Mean \pm SD	%	Mean \pm SD	%	Mean \pm SD
≤ 150 L/ day	Su	100	1.1 \pm 0.2	12	0.039 \pm 0.01	10	0.03 \pm 0.01
	Au	100	3.4 \pm 0.3	13	0.041 \pm 0.01	11	0.01 \pm 0.01
	Wi	100	4.1 \pm 0.2	16	0.042 \pm 0.01	10	0.02 \pm 0.01
	Sp	100	2.2 \pm 0.3	14	0.031 \pm 0.01	14	0.01 \pm 0.01
150-300 L/day	Su	100	5.5 \pm 1.5	24	0.28 \pm 0.12	19	0.02 \pm 0.01
	Au	100	6.3 \pm 1.3	26	0.31 \pm 0.13	17	0.04 \pm 0.01
	Wi	100	4.2 \pm 1.1	30	0.52 \pm 0.21	29	0.04 \pm 0.02
	Sp	100	5.2 \pm 1.2	28	0.41 \pm 0.21	27	0.03 \pm 0.01
300 L/ day	Su	100	5.9 \pm 1.5	44	0.29 \pm 0.22	34	0.03 \pm 0.01
	Au	100	7.3 \pm 1.3	42	0.41 \pm 0.10	32	0.04 \pm 0.01
	Wi	100	5.2 \pm 1.1	49	0.62 \pm 0.13	31	0.06 \pm 0.01
	Sp	100	6.2 \pm 1.2	47	0.41 \pm 0.21	32	0.04 \pm 0.02

*SD: Standard deviation; Detection limit: AFB₁ and AFM₁ were 0.02 and 0.10 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$; Su: Summer; Au: autumn; Wi: winter; Sp: spring.

Table 2: Incidence range of aflatoxin B₁ and M₁ in farms of Rio de Janeiro State and categorized on three milk production scales.

tive samples, the range and the average concentrations of AFs in the milk and the animal feed samples.

The incidence of AFM₁ in all milk samples was 25.45% at concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 1.500 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$. This frequency was consistent with the detection of AFM₁ at all times of milk samples selected. Farm samples with milk production between 150 and 300 L/day showed also positive samples for AFM₁ (38.90%).

DISCUSSION

The results of differences in DM%, pH and a_w values for seasonal samples are comparable to those obtained by Keller et al³³ in Brazil and González Pereyra et al³⁴ in Argentina.

Total fungal counts present in 67% of feed samples, Table 1, exceeded the limit recommended as quality standard (1×10^4 UFC.g⁻¹) proposed by GMP¹⁶ and Brazilian reclamation.³⁵ The total fungi isolated were increased during rainy season and temperature rise similar as those reported for other regions.^{36,37} Mycobiota isolated from several feed samples were comparable to species found by other researchers from the same substrate in Brazil, Argentina, France and Egypt being potentially toxigenic species *A. flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger* aggregate, *P. citrinum*, *F. verticillioides* and *F. graminearum* prevailed on this substrate. *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. fumigatus* relative density in post-fermentation silage samples was higher than in pre-fermentation samples.³⁸⁻⁴⁰

The screening of feed samples allowed evaluating a concurrence of several mycotoxins. The AFB₁ contamination was detected in all samples, areas and seasonal periods, many of them were exceeded the recommended limit for AFB₁ in cattle feed (20 $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$) proposed by GMP. Also, OTA, FB₁ and ZEA were detected. However, when the increase of the mycotoxin level on severe seasonal conditions was evaluated, the statistical differences were not found.

This evaluation suggests that mycotoxins contamination was enhanced during storage.⁴¹ The ensiling process supposes control fungal contamination since pH is reduced to an extremely acid condition and oxygen is consumed to anaerobiosis. However, bad storing condition and practices during the ensiling process or even after the silo is opened for feeding-out, can lead to this kind of contamination.⁴²

The AFB₁ levels observed in the feed samples remained below the tolerance limit recommended (50.0 $\mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$) for feed ingredients in Brazil.⁴³ The research reveals that toxigenic fungi and their mycotoxins are present in feed intended for bovine feed in Rio de Janeiro farms, as occurs in other Brazilian States. Subsequent evaluations of mycotoxin levels are important to provide information, so that the assessments of risk for animal feed and livestock environment can be made.

Sabino et al⁴⁴ found 18% positive samples at levels from 0.10 to 1.68 $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ in the San Pablo State. Recently, Sasahara et al⁴⁵ and Oliveira et al⁴⁶ found AFM₁ at levels from 0.29

to 1.97 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in 24% of raw milk samples collected from farms in the Paraná and São Paulo States, respectively. The process of modernization of the milk chain production in order to conform to current standards regulations in Brazil, has promoted a significant increase in milk production, enabling the export of dairy products. This increase is due in part to the extensive supply of rations to animals, especially in the off season months (autumn and winter), which may have contributed to obtain detectable levels of AFM₁ in milk of the studied regions.

Sampling performed during the months of spring and summer obtained all samples with AFM₁ above 0.5 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. However, 10% of the milk samples collected on other months had higher levels of AFM₁ tolerance limit adopted by the European Union (0.050 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$).

This study shows the need to revise the legislation for AFs in rations and AFM₁ in raw milk in Brazil to prevent the occurrence of AFB₁ and other mycotoxins in feed ingredients for dairy cattle and consequently human toxicity. On addition reinforces the importance of the revision of standard, in order to establish consistent limits for mycotoxins in feed ingredients intended for dairy cattle.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was conducted with support from CNPq (EDITAL UNIVERSAL - 480548/2011-0), FAPUR/UFRRJ, PESAGRO-RJ.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. EMBRAPA, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária. *O Agronegócio do Leite no Brasil*. Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil: Gado de Leite; 2006: 262.
2. IBGE. *Pesquisa Pecuária Municipal*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 2014.
3. CAST, Council for Agriculture Science and Technology. *Mycotoxins: Risks in Plant, Animal, and Human Systems*. Ames, Iowa, USA: CAST; 2003.
4. Hussein HS, Brasel JM. Toxicity metabolism and impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. *Toxicology*. 2001; 167: 101-134. Web site: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11567776>. Accessed April 16, 2016
5. Jonker MA, Van Egmond HP, Stephany RW. *Mycotoxins in Food of Animal Origin: A Review in CRL, Document 389002 095 from European Commission*. Bithoven, Netherlands, England: European Union Community reference laboratory and National Institute of Public Health and the Environment; 1999: 1-39.
6. Moss MO. Recent studies of mycotoxins. *Journal of Applied Microbiology Symposium*. 1998; 84: 62S-76S. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2672.1998.0840s162S.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.1998.0840s162S.x)
7. Park DL, Pohland AE. The rationale for the control of aflatoxin in the animal feeds. In: Steyn PS, Vlegaar R, eds. *Mycotoxins and Phycotoxins*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Applied Science; 1986: 473-482.
8. Riley RT, Pestka J. Mycotoxins: Metabolism, mechanisms and biochemical markers. In: Díaz DE, ed. *The Mycotoxin Blue Book*. Nottingham, England: Nottingham University Press; 2005: 279-294.
9. IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Some Naturally Occurring Substances: Items and Constituents, Heterocyclic Aromatic Amines and Mycotoxins Food*. Lyon, France: IARS; 1993: 56.
10. Alonso VA, Monge MP, Larriestra A, Dalcero AM, Cava-glieri LR, Chiacchiera SM. Naturally occurring aflatoxin M₁ in raw bulk milk from farm cooling tanks in Argentina. *Food Addit Contam*. 2010; 27: 373-379. doi: [10.1080/19440040903403362](https://doi.org/10.1080/19440040903403362)
11. Garrido NS, Iha MH, Ortolani MRS, Fávoro RMD. Occurrence of aflatoxins M₁ and M₂ in milk commercialized in Ribeirão Preto-SP, Brazil. *Food Addit Contam*. 2003; 20: 70-73. doi: [10.1080/0265203021000035371](https://doi.org/10.1080/0265203021000035371)
12. López CE, Ramos LL, Ramadá SS, Bulacio LC. Presence of aflatoxin M₁ in milk for human consumption in Argentina. *Food Control*. 2003; 14: 31-34. Web site: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3908700/>. Accessed April 16, 2016
13. Oliveira C, Rosemary J, Rosim R. Aflatoxin M₁ and cyclopi-azonic acid in fluid milk traded in São Paulo, Brazil. *Food Addit Contam*. 2006; 23: 196-201. doi: [10.1080/02652030500398379](https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030500398379)
14. Prado G, Oliveira MS, Abrantes FM, Santos LG, Soares CR, Veloso T. Ocorrência de aflatoxina M₁ em leite consumido na cidade de Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brasil, agosto/98 to abril/99. *Ciencia Tecnol Alim*. 2009; 19(3): 420-423. doi: [10.1590/S0101-20611999000300022](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-20611999000300022)
15. Sabino M, Purchio A, Zorzetto AP. Variations in the level of aflatoxin in milk cows Consumed in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Food Addit Contam*. 1989; 6: 321-326. doi: [10.1080/02652038909373786](https://doi.org/10.1080/02652038909373786)
16. Brazil. 2002b. Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply - MAPA. Normative Instruction n. 51 of 18 September 2002b. Approves technical regulations of production, identity and quality of the milk type, milk type B, type C milk, pasteurized and

- refrigerated raw milk and the technical regulations of cooled raw milk collection and bulk transport. Official Gazette, Executive Branch, Brasília, DF, September 20, 2002. Web site: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/sa/v70n4/a03v70n4.pdf>. Accessed April 16, 2016
17. Keller LAM, Keller KM, Monge MP, et al. Gliotoxin contamination in and pre- and post-fermented corn, sorghum and wet brewer's grains silage in Sao Paulo and Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2012; 112: 865-873. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05273.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05273.x)
18. Keller LAM, Keller KM, Monteiro BS, et al. Micobiota e micotoxinas em residuo úmido de cervejaria [In Portuguese]. *Rev Bras Med Vet.* 2010; 31: 247-252.
19. Pereira MMG, Carvalho EP, Prado G, et al. Aflatoxinas em alimentos destinados a bovinos e em amostras de leite da região de Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brasil [In Portuguese]. *Ciencia Agrotec, Lavras.* 2005; 29: 106-112. doi: [10.1590/S1413-70542005000100013](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542005000100013)
20. Sassahara M, Yanaka EK, Netto DP. Ocorrência de aflatoxina e zearalenona em alimentos destinados ao gado leiteiro na Região Norte do Estado do Paraná [In Portuguese]. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias, Londrina.* 2003; 24: 63-72. Web site: http://www.uel.br/proppg/portal/pages/arquivos/pesquisa/semina/pdf/semina_24_1_19_19.pdf. Accessed April 16, 2016
21. Ohyama Y, Masaki S, Hara S. Factors influencing aerobic deterioration of silages and changes in chemical composition after opening silos. *J Sci Food Agric.* 1975; 26: 1137-1147. doi: [10.1002/jsfa.2740260811](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740260811)
22. Abarca ML, Bragulat MR, Castellá G, Cabañes FJ. Mycoflora and aflatoxin-producing strains in animal mixed feeds. *J Food Prot.* 1994; 57: 256-258.
23. Pitt JI, Hocking AD. *Fungi and Food Spoilage.* 2nd ed. London, UK: Blackie Academic Press; 1997.
24. Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, Marasas WFO. *Fusarium Species: An Illustrated Manual for Identification.* University Park and London, UK: The Pennsylvania State University Press; 1983.
25. Pitt JL, Hocking AD. *Fungi and Food.* London, UK: Black Academia and Professional Chapman and Hall; 1997: 593.
26. Nelson PT, Toussoun TAA, Marasas WEO. *Fusarium Species: An Illustrated Manual for Identification.* University Park, PA, London: The Pennsylvania State University Press; 1983: 479.
27. Samson RA. *Introduction to Food and Airborne Fungi.* 6th ed. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Central bureau Voor Schimmelcultures, Institute of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences. 2000; 388.
28. Téren J, Varga J, Hamari Z, Rinyu E, Kevei F. Immunochemical detection of ochratoxin A in black Aspergillus strains. *Mycopathologia.* 1996; 134: 171-176. Web site: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8981783>. Accessed April 16, 2016.
29. Abarca ML, Bragulat MR, Castellá G, Cabañes FJ. Mycoflora and aflatoxin-producing strains in animal mixed feeds. *J Food Prot.* 1994; 57: 256-258. Web site: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iafp/jfp/1994/00000057/00000003/art00015?crawler=true>. Accessed April 16, 2016
30. González Pereyra ML, Alonso VA, Sager R, et al. Fungi and selected mycotoxins from pre- and post- fermented corn silage. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2008; 104: 1034-1041. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03634.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03634.x)
31. Tuinstra LG, Roos AH, Van Trijp JM. Liquid chromatographic determination of aflatoxin M₁ in milk powder using immunoaffinity columns for clean-up: Interlaboratory study. *J AOAC.* 1993; 76: 1248-1254. Web site: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8286964>. Accessed April 16, 2016
32. Oliveira CAFS, Fagundes LS, Rosim H, Eliana R, Fernandes AM. Determinação de aflatoxina B₁ em rações e aflatoxina M₁ no leite de propriedades do Estado de São Paulo [In Portuguese]. *C Tecnol Alim Campinas.* 2010; 30(Suppl 1). doi: [10.1590/S0101-20612010000500034](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-20612010000500034)
33. Keller LAM, Pereyra MG, Keller KM. Fungal and mycotoxins contamination in corn silage: Monitoring risk before and after fermentation. *Journal of Stor Prod Res.* 2013; 52: 42-47.
34. González Pereyra ML, Alonso VA, Sager R, et al. Fungi and selected mycotoxins from pre- and post-fermented corn silage. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2008; 104: 1034-1041. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03634.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03634.x)
35. GMP, Good Manufacture Practice. Certification Scheme Animal Feed Sector 2006, Including Residue Standards. The Netherlands: Productschap Diervoeder; 2008.
36. Jouany JP, Diaz DE. Effects of mycotoxins in ruminants. In: Diaz DE, ed. *The Mycotoxin Blue Book.* Nottingham, England: Nottingham University Press; 2005: 295-321.
37. Moreno EC, García GT, Ono MA, et al. Co-occurrence of mycotoxins in corn samples from the Northern region of Paraná State, Brazil. *Food Chem.* 2009; 116: 220-226. Web site: <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201301622144>. Accessed April 16, 2016.
38. El-Shanawany AA, Eman Mostafa M, Barakat A. Fungal populations and mycotoxins in silage in Assiut and Sohag governorates in Egypt, with a special reference to characteristic Aspergilli toxins. *Mycopathologia.* 2005; 159: 281-289. doi: [10.1007/s11046-004-5494-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-004-5494-1)
39. Richard E, Heutte N, Bouchart V, Garon D. Evaluation of

- fungal contamination and mycotoxin production in maize silage. *Animal Feed Sci Technol.* 2009; 148: 309-320.
40. Richard E, Heutte N, Sage L, et al. Toxicogenic fungi and mycotoxins in mature corn silage. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2007; 45: 2420-2425. Web site. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17655998>. Accessed April 16, 2016
41. Dos Santos VM, Dorner JW, Carreira F. Isolation and toxigenicity of *Aspergillus fumigatus* from moldy silage. *Mycopathologia.* 2003; 156: 133-138. Web site. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12733634>. Accessed April 16, 2016
42. Keller LAM, Keller KM, Monge MP, et al. Gliotoxin contamination in and pre- and postfermented corn, sorghum and wet brewer's grains silage in Sao Paulo and Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *Journal of Appli Microb.* 2012; 112: 865-873. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05273.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05273.x)
43. BRASIL - Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento (MAPA). Padrões mínimos de matéria prima empregada na alimentação animal. 1988.
44. Sabino M, Purchio A, Zorzetto AP. Variations in the level of aflatoxin in milk cows consumed in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Food Addit Contam.* 1989; 6: 321-326. doi: [10.1080/02652038909373786](https://doi.org/10.1080/02652038909373786)
45. Sassahara M, Yanaka EK, Netto DP. Ocorrência de aflatoxina e zearalenona em alimentos destinados ao gado leiteiro na Região Norte do Estado do Paraná [In Portuguese]. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias, Londrina.* 2003; 24: 63-72. doi: [10.5433/1679-0359.2003v24n1p63](https://doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2003v24n1p63)
46. Oliveira CAFS, Fagundes LS, Rosim H, Eliana R, Fernandes AM. Determinação de aflatoxina B₁ em rações e aflatoxina M₁ no leite de propriedades do Estado de São Paulo [In Portuguese]. *C Tecnol Alim, Campinas.* 2010; 30(Suppl 1). Web site. <http://vufind.uniovi.es/Record/oai%3Aadoaj.orgarticle%3Aadc-7188969cb84df5a38039ba98838c5a>. Accessed April 14, 2015